

## **Town of Brewster Planning Board**

2198 Main St., Brewster, MA 02631 brewplan@brewster-ma.gov (508) 896-3701 x1133

### PLANNING BOARD MEETING AGENDA 2198 Main Street December 13, 2023 at 6:30 PM

#### This meeting will be conducted in person at the time and location identified above. This means that at least a quorum of **Planning Board** the members of the public body will attend the meeting in person and members of the public are welcome to attend in person as well. As a courtesy only, access to the meeting is also being provided via remote means in accordance with applicable law. Please note that while an option for remote attendance and/or participation is being provided Amanda Bebrin as a courtesy to the public, the meeting/hearing will not be suspended or terminated if technological problems Chair interrupt the virtual broadcast or affect remote attendance or participation, unless otherwise required by law. Members of the public with particular interest in any specific item on this agenda, which includes an applicant and its Alexander representatives, should make plans for in-person vs. virtual attendance accordingly. Wentworth Vice Chair Members of the public who wish to access the meeting may do so in the following manner: Phone: Call (312) 626 6799 or (301) 715-8592. Webinar ID: 841 0778 1002. Passcode: 612505. **Robert Michaels** To request to speak: Press \*9 and wait to be recognized. Zoom Webinar: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84107781002?pwd=VTVSV1ExaUNCL253NmNZV21Gdmo4dz09 Clerk Passcode: 612505. Charlotte Degen To request to speak: Tap Zoom "Raise Hand", then wait to be recognized. When required by law or allowed by the Chair, persons wishing to provide public comment or otherwise participate in Madalyn Hillisthe meeting, may do so by accessing the meeting remotely, as noted above. Additionally, the meeting will be broadcast Dineen live, in real time, via Live broadcast (Brewster Government TV Channel 18), Livestream (livestream.brewster-ma.gov), or Video recording (tv.brewster-ma.gov). Antone Freitas The Planning Board packet can be found on the Calendar on the Town of Brewster website (www.brewster-ma.gov). **Elizabeth Taylor** Please note that the Planning Board may take official action, including votes, on any item on this agenda. 1. Call to Order. 2. Declaration of a Quorum. 3. Meeting Participation Statement. **Town Planner** 4. Recording Statement. As required by the Open Meeting Law we are informing you Jonathon Idman that the Town will be video and audio taping as well as broadcasting this public meeting. In addition, if anyone else intends to either video or audio tape this **Senior Department** Assistant meeting they are required to inform the Chair. Lynn St. Cyr 5. Public Announcements and Comment. Members of the public may address the Planning Board on matters not on the meeting's agenda for a maximum of 3-5 minutes at the Chair's discretion. The Planning Board will not reply to statements made or answer questions raised during public comment but may add items presented to a future agenda. 6. Major Stormwater Management Permit, Case No. SWMP2023-43: Applicant/Owner: Town of Brewster has submitted a major stormwater permit application related to the Millstone Road Improvements Project, pursuant to Brewster Town Code Chapter 272 and its accompanying Regulations. The Planning Board will consider and potentially vote whether to approve the major stormwater permit, as well as any waivers from said Regulations deemed necessary and applicable. 7. Major Stormwater Management Permit, Case No. SWMP2023-46: Applicant/Owner: David and Heidi Jenkins has submitted a major stormwater permit application for property located at 87 Timberlane Drive and shown on Tax Map 144, Parcel 11, pursuant to Brewster Town Code Chapter 272 and its accompanying Regulations. The Planning Board will consider and potentially vote whether to approve the major stormwater permit, as well as any waivers from said

Regulations deemed necessary and applicable.



### **Planning Board**

Amanda Bebrin Chair

Alexander Wentworth Vice Chair

Robert Michaels Clerk

Charlotte Degen

Madalyn Hillis-Dineen

Antone Freitas

Elizabeth Taylor

**Town Planner** Jonathon Idman

Senior Department Assistant Lynn St. Cyr 8. Approval of Meeting Minutes: November 8, 2023.

- 9. Committee Reports.
- 10. For Your Information.
- 11. Matters Not Reasonably Anticipated by the Chair.
- 12. Next Meetings: January 10, 2024 and January 24, 2024.
- 13. Adjournment.

**Date Posted:** 12/06/23

**Date Revised:** 

**Received by Town Clerk:** 

\*23 DEC16:10:23m BREUSTEŃ TOUN CLEAK

# MAJOR STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PERMIT CASE NO. SWMP2023-43

# APPLICANT/OWNER: TOWN OF BREWSTER MILLSTONE ROAD IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT



Town of Brewster Code Chapter 272 Stormwater Management Permit Application Form FOR TOWN OFFICIAL USE ONLY TOWN CLERK RECEIVED: BREWSTER TOWOLERK 23 NOV 32102PM SWM PERMIT NUMBER ASSIGNED: SWM PERMIT NUMBER ASSIGNED:

1. Project Location:

	Book 1291 Page 1139	
Assessors Map and Parcel(s)	Deed Reference	
Applicant:		
Town of Brewster (c/o Griffin Ryder, DPW	Director	
Name		
2198 Main Street, Brewster, MA 02631		
Legal Mailing Address		
508.896.3701	gryder@brewster-ma.gov	
Phone Number	Email Address	
Name		
Name Legal Mailing Address		
Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number	- Email Address	
Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representative:	- Email Address	
Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representative: Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (c/o Steve Bl	Email Address	
Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representative: Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (c/o Steve RI Name	Email Address hoads, Project Manager)	
Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representative: Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (c/o Steve RI Name 101 Walnut Street, P.O. Box 9151, Watertow	Email Address hoads, Project Manager) wn. MA 02472	
Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representative: Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (c/o Steve RI Name 101 Walnut Street, P.O. Box 9151, Watertov Legal Mailing Address	Email Address hoads, Project Manager) wn, MA 02472	
Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representative: Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (c/o Steve RI Name 101 Walnut Street, P.O. Box 9151, Watertow Legal Mailing Address 617.924.1770	Email Address hoads, Project Manager) wn, MA 02472	
Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representative: Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (c/o Steve RI Name 101 Walnut Street, P.O. Box 9151, Watertow Legal Mailing Address 617.924.1770 Phone Number	Email Address hoads, Project Manager) wn, MA 02472 srhoads@vhb.com Email Address	
Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representative: Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (c/o Steve RI Name 101 Walnut Street, P.O. Box 9151, Watertov Legal Mailing Address 617.924.1770 Phone Number	Email Address hoads, Project Manager) wn, MA 02472 srhoads@vhb.com Email Address	

5. Type of Application (Check as applicable):

 Minor Stormwater Permit- Any combination or series of construction or land disturbance activities that, over a two-year period, will result in a net increase in impervious area of 500 sq.ft. to 2,500 sq.ft. and/or will result in land disturbances of 10,000 sq.ft. to 20,000 sq.ft.
 <b>Major Stormwater Permit-</b> Any alteration, disturbance, development, or redevelopment that does not meet the eligibility criteria for a Minor Stormwater Permit.
 SWM Permit Amendment- List existing Stormwater Management permit number/ type
 Stormwater Management Certificate of Compliance (SMCC) Request- List relevant Stormwater Management permit number

6. Brief Project Description, including any waiver requests:

Please see the attached memorandum for the project description.

### 7. Signatures:

nul kat	11.01.2023
Applicant	Date
	11.01.2023
Property Owner (if different than Applicant)	Date
Stard Mr.A.	11.01.2023
Professional Representative (as applicable)	Date

#### **NOTES:**

- Please refer to Appendix B of the Stormwater Management Regulations for detailed application submittal and supporting material requirements for Minor and Major Stormwater Management Permits, respectively.
- The application fee schedule is contained in Appendix C of the Regulations.
- Certain activities are exempt from review and permitting (See §272-6 of the Stormwater Management Bylaw).
- If the project is located, in whole or part, within an area subject to state or local wetlands protection law, the review and permitting authority is the Brewster Conservation Commission/ Conservation Department.
- No permit review shall occur nor shall review periods commence until the application is deemed complete.

Brewster Stormwater Management Permit Application Form

Approved 2/23/ 2022 Rev. 06/22/2022



## Town of Brewster

2198 Main Street Brewster, MA 02631-1898 Phone: (508) 896-3701 Fax: (508) 896-8089 Office of: Department of Public Works

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Brewster Planning Board
FROM: Griffin Ryder, Department of Public Works Director
RE: Millstone Road Major Stormwater Permit Submission
DATE: November 1, 2023

The Town of Brewster is proposing to improve Millstone Road from Route 6A to Route 137 (approx. 2.5 miles) (the "Project"). The Project will create a uniform cross section consisting of 11-foot wide lanes, 1.5-foot wide shoulders and a 5-foot wide sidewalk (separated with a grass strip where possible). Pursuant to the Town of Brewster Stormwater Management Regulations, Section 6.2.B(7) "Redevelopment," the project is an eligible redevelopment project as it is "exclusively limited to maintenance and improvement of existing roadways (including widening less than a single lane, adding shoulders, correcting substandard intersections, and repaving projects) shall improve existing conditions unless infeasible and are exempt from the requirements of Section 6.2.C(7)b." The Project is subject to and has been designed to meet this special standard governing roadway redevelopment projects.

The Project will incorporate significant stormwater infrastructure upgrades including the addition of 78 catch basins, 18 leaching pits and 145 leaching galleys as compared to the existing conditions. The stormwater system has been designed to meet the Mass Stormwater Handbook to the maximum extent practicable as a Redevelopment Project. The proposed stormwater system will provide for a significant improvement in stormwater collection and water quality treatment as compared to the existing conditions thereby meeting the redevelopment standard defined in the Town of Brewster Management Regulations.

The Project received a Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act Certificate of the Secretary of Energy and Environmental Affairs on the Environmental Notification Form from the Executive office of Energy and Environmental Affairs on August 9, 2023. The Project received approval through an Order of Conditions from the Brewster Conservation Commission on October 23, 2023. The focus of the Conservation Commission filing was the small area of the Project within the 100' wetland resource buffer zone in the area proximal to 99 and 133 Millstone Road. The Project was designed to minimize impacts to the wetland resource area and its buffers. The Project has also received conditional approval from MassDOT on an Access Permit that will allow for the construction of a crosswalk with push button rapid rectangular flashing beacons across Route 6A to connect to the existing sidewalk on the north side of Route 6A (which also abuts the town owned, former CCSC bay property).

The Project design was carefully selected using low impact development techniques to increase safety for all users of the roadway and sidewalk while limiting the increase of impervious area and corresponding impacts on the natural environment. Grass strips adjacent to the sidewalk have been incorporated into the design where there was available layout area and would not have permanent impacts on private property. Another design intent was to preserve healthy and mature existing trees to the maximum extent practicable. The grass strips will provide for vegetated filter pretreatment prior to some level of further treatment, collection and infiltration via the catch basins. Further, a rain garden has been provided at the location opposite the Joe Long Road intersection on the west side of Millstone Road (see sheet 68 of the site plan set).<sup>1</sup> VHB will prepare a planting plan and details for the rain garden that will be part of a supplemental submission prior to the Planning Board meeting.

Also included in the Planning Board submission is a palate of replacement plant materials (trees and shrubs) that are proposed to be used throughout the Project footprint. These native and hearty plant selections were determined with the assistance of VHB's Landscape Architect, in consultation with the town's Tree Warden. These are the types of plant material that will be offered to property owners to replace the loss of vegetation on their properties, associated with the construction of the Project. The location of these plantings will be determined so as not to interfere with the function or safety of the roadway, and on a case-by-case basis in the field after discussions with the affected owners. Prior to commencement of work, a joint public hearing with the Tree Warden and the Planning Board will be required to review and approve the proposed tree removal associated with the Project, as Millstone Road is a locally designated scenic road. I anticipate a broader discussion regarding the proposed tree removal and potential mitigation as part of the hearing.

I look forward to meeting and discussing this item at the Planning Board's next available, regularly scheduled meeting, which I understand is December 13, 2023. I'm happy to coordinate site visits or provide additional information through Planning Staff in the meantime.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Area was available on the west side of Millstone Road because the travelled way extends easterly outside of the road layout. It appears that the road was originally constructed and curved in this way to avoid the large "Spring Rock" glacial erratic at the location.



To: Town of Brewster Department of Public Works 201 Run Hill Road Brewster, MA 02631

Date: October 31, 2023

From: Luke Boucher, PE

Project #: 14170.00

Re: Stormwater Management Memorandum Millstone Road Improvement Project

This Stormwater Management Memorandum has been prepared to show compliance with the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards to the maximum extent practicable, in accordance with the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act Regulations (310 CMR 10.00), as well as with the Town of Brewster, MA Stormwater Management Bylaw (the local Stormwater Bylaw) and Stormwater Management Regulations (the local Stormwater Regulations)<sup>1</sup>.

### **Project Description**

The applicant, Town of Brewster, is proposing roadway improvements and new sidewalks for Millstone Road (the Project) from the intersection with Main Street (Route 6A) to the intersection with Long Pond Road (Route 137) in Brewster, MA (Figure 1). The Project also proposes approximately 50 leaching galley & basin systems along the roadway, as well as a rain garden, to treat stormwater runoff.

A portion of the Project Area is within the 100-foot buffer zone to an Isolated Vegetated Wetland, which is subject to the jurisdiction of the Massachusetts Wetland Protections Act and within the 50-foot No Disturb Zone, which is subject to the jurisdiction of the local wetland bylaw. Additionally, a portion of the Project Area is adjacent to a Natural Heritage Endangered Species Program Priority Habitat MassGIS polygon, and within Zone II wellhead protection areas, which is considered a critical area per 310 CMR 10.04. The Zone II wellhead protection areas are associated with the Brewster Water Department water supply wells.

Under the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards, the Project is considered a redevelopment project because it involves maintenance and improvement of an existing roadway, including widening less than a single lane, improving existing drainage systems and repaving. The Project has been designed to meet the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards to the maximum extent practicable and to improve upon existing conditions.

The Project also requires a Major Stormwater Permit for the proposed work per the local Stormwater Bylaw (Chapter 272 Stormwater Management), adopted November 15, 2021. Compliance with the local Stormwater Bylaw and local Stormwater Regulations, which went into effect on March 4, 2022, is documented later in this memorandum.

\vhb\gbl\proj\Wat-TE\14170.00 Millstone Rd Improv\docs\memos\Stormwater\14170.00 - Stormwater Memo\_Oct 2023.docx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Town of Brewster, 2022. Town of Brewster Stormwater Management Regulations. <u>https://www.brewster-ma.gov/sites/q/files/vyhlif6286/f/uploads/brewster\_stormwater\_management\_regulations\_022322.pdf</u>



### **Site Description**

### **Watershed**

The Project Area lies within the Cape Cod watershed. The Cape Cod watershed is covered by a TMDL for pathogens.<sup>2</sup> The TMDL implementation plan for stormwater management recommFends starting with non-structural best management practices (BMPs), and then using structural BMPs if the non-structural BMPs are not sufficient to address water quality. The TMDL implementation plan also references the six minimum control measures required under the MS4 permit.

The Project is not located in an area designated as an Outstanding Resource Water (ORW).<sup>3</sup>

### Land Use

Land uses adjacent to the Project Area are primarily forest and residential.<sup>4</sup> There are no known land uses with higher potential pollutant loads (LUHPPLs) in or with drainage areas directly tributary to the Project Area.

### Land Cover

Land cover within the Project Area consists primarily of asphalt roadway. Existing pervious cover within the Project Area is predominantly grass adjacent to the roadway.

### **Utilities**

Subsurface utilities along the Project Area include water and gas. Above-ground utilities include utility poles, overhead wires, signals, electrical structures, and hydrants.

### Topography

The Project Area has multiple low points along its existing roadway alignment, ranging in elevation from approximately 44 to 121 (NAVD 88). Slopes along the project corridor range between 0.0% and 6.05%.

### <u>Soils</u>

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey<sup>5</sup> has mapped the surface soils within the Project as predominantly Carver coarse sand (HSG A), Plymouth loamy coarse sand (HSG A), and Barnstable-Plymouth-Nantucket complex (HSG A). A map of existing NRCS Soil Survey in the Project Area is included in Appendix B.

### Hazardous Materials

Based on VHB's preliminary screening of the MassDEP database, one (1) state-listed site with documented releases of oil and/or hazardous materials (OHM) is present within a 500-foot radius of the Project. This site does not have the potential to impact the Project based on proximity to the Project area and/or current regulatory status. Should OHM be encountered during project excavations that requires management or export, it must be handled under

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Massachusetts DEP. 2009. "Final Pathogen TMDL for the Cape Cod Watershed."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Massachusetts DEP, 2013. 314 CMR 4.00 Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> MassMapper Land Use 2005. <u>https://maps.massgis.digital.mass.gov/MassMapper/MassMapper.html</u>. Accessed August 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey



appropriate regulatory submittal (such as a Utility Related Abatement Measure, Release Abatement Measure, or Immediate Response Action) and accompanied by appropriate documentation such as Material Shipping Records (MSRs), Bills of Lading (BOLs), or manifests.

No excavation associated with the proposed closed drainage and stormwater infrastructure is proposed within the limits of any open disposal site boundaries.

### Existing Drainage Infrastructure and Structural Best Management Practices (BMPs)

The existing drainage infrastructure within the Project Area consists of a combination of country drainage and closed drainage (catch basins, leaching drainage structures, pipes). The cross slope of Millstone Road varies, with some sections crowned and some sloping to one side. The outer edges of Millstone Road are either uncurbed or feature bituminous berm with intermittently spaced catch basins that intercept flow that is unable to sheet off the roadway. The existing structural stormwater treatment BMPs within the Project Area include leaching basin systems.

### **Proposed Drainage Conditions**

### Land Cover

The Project proposes to increase impervious cover by approximately 3.3 acres. Proposed impervious cover will be comprised of asphalt roadway and sidewalks.

The Project proposes tree removal along Millstone Road to accommodate roadway widening and new sidewalks, which provide a safety improvement over existing conditions.

### Structural BMPs

The Project proposes to install a rain garden and approximately 50 leaching galley & basin systems to supplement the proposed closed-drainage system consisting of catch basins, gutter inlets, and manholes. The majority of existing catch basins and drainage manholes will be retained or remodeled and incorporated into the proposed drainage system. In addition, the design includes a proposed rain garden located across from Joe Long Road.

### Erosion and Sediment Control

An erosion and sedimentation control program will be implemented to minimize temporary impacts to wetland resource areas prior to and during the construction phase of the Project. The program incorporates BMPs specified in guidelines developed by the DEP<sup>6</sup> and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)<sup>7</sup>.

Non-structural practices to be used during construction include permanent seeding and pavement sweeping. These practices will be initiated as soon as practicable during construction. Structural erosion and sedimentation controls include erosion control barriers and catch basin inlet protection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> DEP, 1997. Massachusetts Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Urban and Suburban Areas: A Guide for Planners, Designers, and Municipal Officials. <sup>7</sup> EPA, 2007. Interim Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan: A Guide for Construction Sites. Office of Water. Report EPA 833-R-060-04.



## Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) – Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards

Under the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards, the Project is considered a redevelopment project because it involves maintenance and improvement of an existing roadway, including widening less than a single lane, improving existing drainage systems and repaving. The Project has been designed to meet the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards to the maximum extent practicable, and to improve upon existing conditions.

### Standard 1: No New Untreated Discharges or Erosion to Wetlands

The Project has been designed to comply with Standard 1.

The Project does not propose any discharge to wetlands or receiving waters. Under existing conditions, stormwater from the Project Area sheet flows off the roadway without pretreatment or flows into existing intermittent catch basins and leaching structures. The proposed drainage systems for the Project will reroute sheet flow into additional catch basins and provide treatment via a network of additional offline leaching basins and galleys. In addition, a rain garden has been incorporated into the design to provide additional treatment.

One isolated wetland resource area is located within the Project vicinity, east of station 211+50. Sheet flow from the roadway in this area will be directed to catch basins on both sides of the roadway, south and north of the wetland boundary, and conveyed through approximately 390 feet of 12" pipe trunkline to a leaching galley on the western side of the road for infiltration. The leaching galley system is located approximately 267 feet from the isolated wetland. No discharge to the isolated wetland resource area is proposed.

### **Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation**

The Project has been designed to comply to the maximum extent practicable with Standard 2.

The Project proposes to increase impervious cover by approximately 3.3 acres. This increase in impervious area is to accommodate roadway widening and new sidewalks, which provide a safety improvement over existing conditions.



The Project is a redevelopment project. The new stormwater system will infiltrate all collected runoff and does not propose discharges to wetlands or receiving waters and calculations of peak rate discharges to receiving waters are not included.

### **Standard 3: Stormwater Recharge**

The Project has been designed to comply with Standard 3.

Groundwater recharge of stormwater runoff is provided by leaching basins, leaching galleys, and a rain garden. The required water quality volume and proposed water quality volume are provided in Table 1.

#### Table 1: Required and Provided Groundwater Recharge Volumes

Proposed Impervious Area	Required Recharge Volume	Provided Recharge Volume
(HSG A) (acres)	(cf)	(cf)
3.3	7,820	35,214

Supporting calculations for required and provided recharge volumes are included in Appendix C.

### **Standard 4: Water Quality**

The Project has been designed to comply with Standard 4.

The Project Area, located within the Cape Cod Watershed, is covered by a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for pathogens. The TMDL does not reference stormwater as a significant source of pathogens to the waterbodies and does not require mitigation beyond the six minimum control measures (MCMs) as covered under the Town and MassDOT MS4 stormwater management programs.

Under existing conditions, stormwater from the Project Area sheet flows off of the roadway or directly into leaching catch basins without pretreatment or flows into existing catch basins and leaching structures interspersed throughout the roadway. The existing catch basins do not appear to be spaced adequately throughout the existing roadway to effectively capture runoff. The proposed drainage systems for the Project will reroute sheet flow into catch basins spaced appropriately and provide pretreatment via deep-sump catch basins and treatment via a network of 51 offline leaching basins (39 proposed and 12 existing to be



retained) and 145 leaching galleys. In addition, the proposed system includes a rain garden located across from Joe Long Road.

The Massachusetts Stormwater Standards require a TSS reduction of 80%. As indicated in the TSS Removal Calculation Worksheets included in Appendix C, the weighted average TSS load reduction across the Project Area is 80%, which complies with this requirement.

Computations and supporting information, including the Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan, are provided in Appendix D. Table 2 below summarizes the required and provided Water Quality Volumes.

#### Table 2: Required and Provided Water Quality Volume

Proposed Impervious Area	Required Water Quality Volume (cf)	Provided Water Quality Volume (cf)
9.7	35,211	35,214

In addition to the treatment described above, vegetated grass strips have been incorporated into the design where feasible between the sidewalk and roadway. Runoff from the sidewalk areas will flow through these grass strips prior to entering the roadway. As these grass strips do not meet the requirements for vegetated filter strips or a qualifying pervious area (LID Credit No. 3) in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, the Project cannot claim any water quality credit. The grass strips; however, will allow incidental infiltration and treatment of the sidewalk areas, resulting in improved water quality over a layout with sidewalks immediately adjacent to the roadway.

### Standard 5: Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs)

There are no known land uses with higher potential pollutant loads (LUHPPLs) in or with drainage areas directly tributary to the Project Area. The Project complies with Standard 5.

### **Standard 6: Critical Areas**

The Project is located within a Zone II, shown in Figure 2. The Project has been designed to comply with Standard 6 to the maximum extent practicable.

Runoff from the Project's proposed impervious cover will be treated by a subsurface leaching basin (80% TSS removal), a leaching galley (80% TSS removal), or a rain garden (90% TSS removal). Since this project is located within a critical area, 44% TSS removal must be



> removed prior to discharge into the infiltration structure. Deep-sump catch basins provide 25% pretreatment to leaching basin and leaching galley systems, but due to limited right-ofway, proposing additional pretreatment is not practicable. A combination grass/gravel pretreatment system is proposed as pretreatment for the proposed rain garden, as specified in Volume 2, Chapter 2, page 25 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

The project is located within a Zone II and within an area with infiltration rates greater than 2.4 inches/hour, both of which require that a 1" Water Quality Volume be treated. This Project complies with that requirement to the maximum extent practicable, as shown in Figure 2.

## Standard 7: Redevelopments and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the Maximum Extent Practicable

The Project is considered a redevelopment and has been designed to comply with the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Standards to the maximum extent practicable. The project complies with Standards 1, 3, 5, 8, 9, and 10. The Project complies with Standards 2, 4 and the pretreatment and structural BMP requirements of Standard 6 to the maximum extent practicable.

# **Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Controls**

The Project will disturb approximately 9.7 acres of land and is therefore required to obtain coverage under the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Construction General Permit. As required under this permit, a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be developed and submitted by the contractor before land disturbance begins.

The project will include an erosion and sedimentation control program to minimize temporary impacts to wetland resource areas prior to and during the construction phase of the Project. Non-structural practices to be used during construction include permanent seeding and pavement sweeping. These practices will be initiated as soon as practicable during construction. Structural erosion and sedimentation controls include erosion control barriers and catch basin inlet protection.



### **Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan**

In compliance with Standard 9, a Post-Construction Stormwater Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan has been developed for the Project. The O&M Plan is included in Appendix D as part of the Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan.

### **Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges**

The Project Area does not have any known illicit connections. Any illicit connections to sanitary sewer or storm drainage structures found in the project limit of work will be removed or incorporated into the project. The Town does not have any sanitary sewer infrastructure in this area. The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan, provided in Appendix D, includes measures to prevent illicit discharges.



## Town of Brewster, MA Stormwater Management Bylaw and Stormwater Management Regulations – Major Stormwater Permit

As the project results in an increase in impervious area exceeding 2,500 square feet and a land disturbance exceeding 20,000 square feet, the project is required to obtain a Major Stormwater Permit from the Town of Brewster (Section 4 of the local Stormwater Regulations). The following section documents compliance with the Post-Construction Stormwater Management performance standards for Major Stormwater Permits, as listed in Section 6.2.B of the local Stormwater Regulations.

The local Stormwater Regulations include "redevelopment" provisions similar to those in the Massachusetts Stormwater Standards, requiring certain types of projects to comply with some stormwater quality and quantity requirements only to the maximum extent practicable. These "redevelopment" provisions recognize the physical constraints that limit the choice of BMP on certain projects, particularly those involving improvement of existing roads. These constraints derive from the linear configuration of the road, the limited area within the existing right-of-way, the structural and safety requirements attendant to good roadway design, and the long-term maintainability of the roadway drainage systems. As a result, there is often limited available space on existing roadway improvement projects to incorporate stormwater BMPs with adequate capacity to provide the stormwater treatment and peak rate attenuation necessary to fully comply with stormwater quality and quantity requirements.

### Local Standard 1: Compliance with MassDEP and MS4 Requirements - Section 6.2.B(1)

See the previous section for documentation of compliance with the MassDEP Stormwater Standards. Per the response to Local Standard 7, the project is not subject to compliance with the MS4 requirements in Section 6.2.B(7)(b).

### Local Standard 2: LID Planning and Design Strategies - Section 6.2.B(2)

The project team evaluated the project area for locations where the following LID practices could be incorporated into the design.

### Protection and Restoration of Natural Resources

As designed, the Project does not have significant impact on any natural, cultural, recreational, historical, or other resource. The Project will not alter any wetland area regulated as a Water of the U.S. and is not subject to jurisdiction under Sections 401 and/or 404 of the



Clean Water Act. No permanent impacts to any vegetated wetlands are anticipated. The Project has been designed to avoid all direct impacts to wetland resource areas.

### Minimizing Impervious Surfaces

The purpose of the project is to improve the exiting travel lanes and surface and provide accessible pedestrian accommodations along Millstone Road. The proposed alternative was selected to minimize increase in impervious area while still addressing insufficient shoulder width and lack of sidewalk, as these components currently provide inadequate safety accommodations for all users of the roadway, including motorists, pedestrians, bicyclists, and those who need accessible accommodations.

### Grading to Direct Runoff onto Pervious Surfaces

One of the project goals was to maximize infiltration within the project area. As there is limited space within the relatively narrow project corridor, directing runoff off the roadway as surface flow would have resulted in untreated runoff being discharged onto private properties, some of which already experience periodic flooding. The project was able to incorporate this LID technique by directing roadway runoff to the proposed rain garden at Joe Long Road. In this location, a portion of the roadway will be uncurbed, allow surface flow into the rain garden, where it will infiltrate.

In locations where the roadway is elevated above the surrounding areas, runoff from the existing roadway has resulted in erosion and deterioration of the pavement edge. Curbing is proposed curb in these locations to eliminate the source of this erosion.

Vegetated grass strips have been incorporated into the design where space allows between the sidewalk and roadway. Runoff from the sidewalk areas will flow through these grass strips prior to entering the roadway. Consistent with the objectives of incorporating LID practices, these grass strips will allow incidental infiltration and treatment of the sidewalk areas, resulting in improved water quality over a layout with sidewalks immediately adjacent to the roadway.

### Soil Decompaction and Amendments to Improve Infiltration Capacity

The proposed rain garden across from Joe Long Road will include an engineered soil layer specifically designed to improve infiltration within the BMP.



## Local Standard 3: Stormwater BMPs Optimized for Phosphorus and Nitrogen Removal - Section 6.2.B(3)

Proposed treatment BMPs consist of leaching basins, leaching galleys, and an infiltrating rain garden, all of which utilize infiltration as the primary treatment mechanism. As stated in the Town's MS4 Permit, infiltration BMPs such as these are optimized for the removal of Phosphorus and Nitrogen. As a result, the Project has been designed to comply with Local Standard 3.

### Local Standard 4: NOAA Plus Precipitation Depths - Section 6.2.B(4)

The Project proposes to increase impervious cover by approximately 3.3 acres. This increase in impervious area is to accommodate roadway widening and new sidewalks, which provide a safety improvement over existing conditions.

The new stormwater system will infiltrate all collected runoff and does not propose discharges to wetlands or receiving waters and therefore calculations of peak rate discharges to receiving waters are not included.

### Local Standard 5: BMPs on Commercial or Industrial Land Use Areas - Section 6.2.B(5)

The project is a roadway improvement project, not located within properties with commercial or industrial uses. As a result, the Project complies with Local Standard 5.

### Local Standard 6: New Development - Section 6.2.B(6)

The project is not considered New Development. As a result, the Project is not subject to the New Development performance standard under Section 6.2.B(6). See Local Standard 7 for additional information.

### Local Standard 7: Redevelopment - Section 6.2.B(7)

Per Section 6.2.B(7)b of the local Stormwater Regulations, the Project is considered a redevelopment project because it exclusively limited to maintenance and improvement of existing roadways (including widening less than a single lane, adding shoulders, correcting substandard intersections, improving existing drainage systems, and repaving projects). As a result, the Project is required to improve upon existing conditions unless infeasible but is exempt from the MS4 treatment and storage requirements in Section 6.2.B(7)b of the local Stormwater Regulations.



### Improvement Upon Existing Conditions

Under existing conditions, stormwater from the Project Area sheet flows off the roadway or directly into leaching catch basins without pretreatment or flows into existing catch basins and leaching structures interspersed throughout the roadway. The existing catch basins do not appear to be spaced adequately throughout the existing roadway to effectively capture runoff. The project proposes to increase the number of catch basins along the project corridor from 34 to 112. The addition of inlet structures and corrections to the roadway cross-slope will reduce ponding and gutter spread, both of which contribute to hydroplaning. As a result, the project will result in improved safety.

The proposed drainage systems for the Project will reroute sheet flow into catch basins spaced appropriately and provide pretreatment via deep-sump catch basins and treatment via a network of new offline leaching basins and galleys. In addition, the proposed system includes a rain garden across from Joe Long Road, which was the only location where incorporating a rain garden is feasible. Other locations along the roadway do not provide enough right-of-way or physical space when considering impacts to various residences frontage for the construction and maintenance of additional rain gardens.

Under existing conditions, 33 leaching basins exist along the project corridor. Under proposed conditions, the project corridor will contain 51 leaching basins (39 proposed and 12 existing to be retained), 145 leaching galleys, and rain garden, the project will result in 35,214 cubic feet of storage which will increase groundwater recharge and improve water quality. As indicated in Table 2 below, the proposed project will double the runoff depth that will be stored and treated through infiltration.

### Table 3: Existing and Provided Treatment Depth

	Impervious Area (acres)	Provided BMP Volume (cf)	Treatment Depth (in)
Existing Conditions	6.4	10,857*	0.5
Proposed Conditions	9.7	35,214	1.0

\* Assumes a storage volume of 329 cubic feet for each of the 33 existing leaching basins

Refer to Appendices E and F for plan markups depicting the existing and proposed stormwater infrastructure, respectively.



Figures:	Figure 1 – USGS Locus
	Figure 2 – Environmental Constraints

Attachments:

Appendix A – Stormwater Checklist Appendix B – Soils Data Appendix C – Stormwater Management Calculations Appendix D – Operation and Maintenance Plan and Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan Appendix E – Existing Stormwater Infrastructure Markups Appendix F – Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure Markups



### November 15, 2020 | FIGURE 1



Figure 1 - USGS Locus Map Source Info: USGS, MassGIS, VHB





Zone II Wellhead Protection Area NHESP Priority Habitats of Rare Species NHESP Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife NHESP Certified Vernal Pools NHESP Potential Vernal Pools

Figure 2 - Environmental Constraints Figure Source Info: USGS, MassGIS, VHB



# Attachment A

# Stormwater Checklist

101 Walnut Street PO Box 9151 Watertown, MA 02472-4026 P 617.924.1770

\\vhb\gbl\proj\Wat-TE\14170.00 Millstone Rd Improv\docs\memos\Stormwater\14170.00 - Stormwater Memo.docx



## Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program Checklist for Stormwater Report

## A. Introduction

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A Stormwater Report must be submitted with the Notice of Intent permit application to document compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards. The following checklist is NOT a substitute for the Stormwater Report (which should provide more substantive and detailed information) but is offered here as a tool to help the applicant organize their Stormwater Management documentation for their Report and for the reviewer to assess this information in a consistent format. As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Report must contain the engineering computations and supporting information set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The Stormwater Report must be prepared and certified by a Registered Professional Engineer (RPE) licensed in the Commonwealth.

The Stormwater Report must include:

- The Stormwater Checklist completed and stamped by a Registered Professional Engineer (see page 2) that certifies that the Stormwater Report contains all required submittals.<sup>1</sup> This Checklist is to be used as the cover for the completed Stormwater Report.
- Applicant/Project Name
- Project Address
- Name of Firm and Registered Professional Engineer that prepared the Report
- Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan required by Standards 4-6
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan required by Standard 8<sup>2</sup>
- Operation and Maintenance Plan required by Standard 9

In addition to all plans and supporting information, the Stormwater Report must include a brief narrative describing stormwater management practices, including environmentally sensitive site design and LID techniques, along with a diagram depicting runoff through the proposed BMP treatment train. Plans are required to show existing and proposed conditions, identify all wetland resource areas, NRCS soil types, critical areas, Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPL), and any areas on the site where infiltration rate is greater than 2.4 inches per hour. The Plans shall identify the drainage areas for both existing and proposed conditions at a scale that enables verification of supporting calculations.

As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Management Report shall document compliance with each of the Stormwater Management Standards as provided in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The soils evaluation and calculations shall be done using the methodologies set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

To ensure that the Stormwater Report is complete, applicants are required to fill in the Stormwater Report Checklist by checking the box to indicate that the specified information has been included in the Stormwater Report. If any of the information specified in the checklist has not been submitted, the applicant must provide an explanation. The completed Stormwater Report Checklist and Certification must be submitted with the Stormwater Report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Stormwater Report may also include the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement required by Standard 10. If not included in the Stormwater Report, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement must be submitted prior to the discharge of stormwater runoff to the post-construction best management practices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For some complex projects, it may not be possible to include the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan in the Stormwater Report. In that event, the issuing authority has the discretion to issue an Order of Conditions that approves the project and includes a condition requiring the proponent to submit the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan before commencing any land disturbance activity on the site.



35 24

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program Checklist for Stormwater Report

## **B. Stormwater Checklist and Certification**

The following checklist is intended to serve as a guide for applicants as to the elements that ordinarily need to be addressed in a complete Stormwater Report. The checklist is also intended to provide conservation commissions and other reviewing authorities with a summary of the components necessary for a comprehensive Stormwater Report that addresses the ten Stormwater Standards.

*Note:* Because stormwater requirements vary from project to project, it is possible that a complete Stormwater Report may not include information on some of the subjects specified in the Checklist. If it is determined that a specific item does not apply to the project under review, please note that the item is not applicable (N.A.) and provide the reasons for that determination.

A complete checklist must include the Certification set forth below signed by the Registered Professional Engineer who prepared the Stormwater Report.

## **Registered Professional Engineer's Certification**

I have reviewed the Stormwater Memorandum Report, including the soil evaluation, computations, Longterm Pollution Prevention Plan, the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (if included), the Long-term Post-Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement (if included) and the plans showing the stormwater management system, and have determined that they have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Stormwater Management Standards as further elaborated by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. I have also determined that the information presented in the Stormwater Checklist is accurate and that the information presented in the Stormwater Report accurately reflects conditions at the site as of the date of this permit application.

Registered Professional Engineer Block and Signature



Signature and Date

10-31-2023

Checklist

**Project Type:** Is the application for new development, redevelopment, or a mix of new and redevelopment?

New development

Redevelopment

Mix of New Development and Redevelopment



LID Measures: Stormwater Standards require LID measures to be considered. Document what environmentally sensitive design and LID Techniques were considered during the planning and design of the project:

$\boxtimes$	No disturbance to any Wetland Resource Areas
	Site Design Practices (e.g. clustered development, reduced frontage setbacks)
	Reduced Impervious Area (Redevelopment Only)
$\boxtimes$	Minimizing disturbance to existing trees and shrubs
	LID Site Design Credit Requested:
	Credit 1
	Credit 2
	Credit 3
	Use of "country drainage" versus curb and gutter conveyance and pipe
$\boxtimes$	Bioretention Cells (includes Rain Gardens)
	Constructed Stormwater Wetlands (includes Gravel Wetlands designs)
	Treebox Filter
	Water Quality Swale
	Grass Channel
	Green Roof
	Other (describe):

### **Standard 1: No New Untreated Discharges**

No new untreated discharges

- Outlets have been designed so there is no erosion or scour to wetlands and waters of the Commonwealth
- Supporting calculations specified in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook included.



### Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation

- Standard 2 waiver requested because the project is located in land subject to coastal storm flowage and stormwater discharge is to a wetland subject to coastal flooding.
- Evaluation provided to determine whether off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm.

Calculations provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed predevelopment rates for the 2-year and 10-year 24-hour storms. If evaluation shows that off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm, calculations are also provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development rates for the 100-year 24hour storm.

### Standard 3: Recharge

Soil Analysis provided.

- Required Recharge Volume calculation provided.
- Required Recharge volume reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Sizing the infiltration, BMPs is based on the following method: Check the method used.

🛛 Static	🗌 Simple Dynamic
----------	------------------

ic Dynamic Field<sup>1</sup>

- Runoff from all impervious areas at the site discharging to the infiltration BMP.
- Runoff from all impervious areas at the site is *not* discharging to the infiltration BMP and calculations are provided showing that the drainage area contributing runoff to the infiltration BMPs is sufficient to generate the required recharge volume.
- Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume.
- Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume *only* to the maximum extent practicable for the following reason:
  - Site is comprised solely of C and D soils and/or bedrock at the land surface
  - M.G.L. c. 21E sites pursuant to 310 CMR 40.0000
  - Solid Waste Landfill pursuant to 310 CMR 19.000
  - Project is otherwise subject to Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum extent practicable.
- Calculations showing that the infiltration BMPs will drain in 72 hours are provided.
- Property includes a M.G.L. c. 21E site or a solid waste landfill and a mounding analysis is included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 80% TSS removal is required prior to discharge to infiltration BMP if Dynamic Field method is used.



### Standard 3: Recharge (continued)

The infiltration BMP is used to attenuate peak flows during storms greater than or equal to the 10year 24-hour storm and separation to seasonal high groundwater is less than 4 feet and a mounding analysis is provided.

Documentation is provided showing that infiltration BMPs do not adversely impact nearby wetland resource areas.

### **Standard 4: Water Quality**

The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan typically includes the following:

- Good housekeeping practices;
- Provisions for storing materials and waste products inside or under cover;
- Vehicle washing controls;
- Requirements for routine inspections and maintenance of stormwater BMPs;
- Spill prevention and response plans;
- Provisions for maintenance of lawns, gardens, and other landscaped areas;
- Requirements for storage and use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides;
- Pet waste management provisions;
- Provisions for operation and management of septic systems;
- Provisions for solid waste management;
- Snow disposal and plowing plans relative to Wetland Resource Areas;
- Winter Road Salt and/or Sand Use and Storage restrictions;
- Street sweeping schedules;
- Provisions for prevention of illicit discharges to the stormwater management system;
- Documentation that Stormwater BMPs are designed to provide for shutdown and containment in the event of a spill or discharges to or near critical areas or from LUHPPL;
- Training for staff or personnel involved with implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan;
- List of Emergency contacts for implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- A Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan is attached to Stormwater Report and is included as an attachment to the Wetlands Notice of Intent.
- Treatment BMPs subject to the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement and the one inch rule for calculating the water quality volume are included, and discharge:
  - is within the Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area
  - is near or to other critical areas
  - is within soils with a rapid infiltration rate (greater than 2.4 inches per hour)
  - involves runoff from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads.
- The Required Water Quality Volume is reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.
- Calculations documenting that the treatment train meets the 80% TSS removal requirement and, if applicable, the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement, are provided.



	Checklist (	(continued)
--	-------------	-------------

### Standard 4: Water Quality (continued)

- The BMP is sized (and calculations provided) based on:
  - The 1/2" or 1" Water Quality Volume or
  - The equivalent flow rate associated with the Water Quality Volume and documentation is provided showing that the BMP treats the required water quality volume.
- ☐ The applicant proposes to use proprietary BMPs, and documentation supporting use of proprietary BMP and proposed TSS removal rate is provided. This documentation may be in the form of the propriety BMP checklist found in Volume 2, Chapter 4 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook and submitting copies of the TARP Report, STEP Report, and/or other third party studies verifying performance of the proprietary BMPs.
- A TMDL exists that indicates a need to reduce pollutants other than TSS and documentation showing that the BMPs selected are consistent with the TMDL is provided.

### Standard 5: Land Uses With Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs)

- The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been included with the Stormwater Report.
- The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the SWPPP will be submitted **prior to** the discharge of stormwater to the post-construction stormwater BMPs.
- The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit does *not* cover the land use.
- LUHPPLs are located at the site and industry specific source control and pollution prevention measures have been proposed to reduce or eliminate the exposure of LUHPPLs to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff, and been included in the long term Pollution Prevention Plan.
- All exposure has been eliminated.
- All exposure has *not* been eliminated and all BMPs selected are on MassDEP LUHPPL list.
- The LUHPPL has the potential to generate runoff with moderate to higher concentrations of oil and grease (e.g. all parking lots with >1000 vehicle trips per day) and the treatment train includes an oil grit separator, a filtering bioretention area, a sand filter or equivalent.

#### **Standard 6: Critical Areas**

- The discharge is near or to a critical area and the treatment train includes only BMPs that MassDEP has approved for stormwater discharges to or near that particular class of critical area.
- Critical areas and BMPs are identified in the Stormwater Report.



## Standard 7: Redevelopments and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the maximum extent practicable

- The project is subject to the Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum Extent Practicable as a:
  - Limited Project
  - Small Residential Projects: 5-9 single family houses or 5-9 units in a multi-family development provided there is no discharge that may potentially affect a critical area.
  - Small Residential Projects: 2-4 single family houses or 2-4 units in a multi-family development with a discharge to a critical area
  - Marina and/or boatyard provided the hull painting, service and maintenance areas are protected from exposure to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff
  - Bike Path and/or Foot Path
  - Redevelopment Project
  - Redevelopment portion of mix of new and redevelopment.
- Certain standards are not fully met (Standard No. 1, 8, 9, and 10 must always be fully met) and an explanation of why these standards are not met is contained in the Stormwater Report.
- The project involves redevelopment and a description of all measures that have been taken to improve existing conditions is provided in the Stormwater Report. The redevelopment checklist found in Volume 2 Chapter 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook may be used to document that the proposed stormwater management system (a) complies with Standards 2, 3 and the pretreatment and structural BMP requirements of Standards 4-6 to the maximum extent practicable and (b) improves existing conditions.

### Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan must include the following information:

- Narrative;
- Construction Period Operation and Maintenance Plan;
- Names of Persons or Entity Responsible for Plan Compliance;
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention Measures;
- Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawings;
- Detail drawings and specifications for erosion control BMPs, including sizing calculations;
- Vegetation Planning;
- Site Development Plan;
- Construction Sequencing Plan;
- Sequencing of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Operation and Maintenance of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Inspection Schedule;
- Maintenance Schedule;
- Inspection and Maintenance Log Form.

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan containing the information set forth above has been included in the Stormwater Report.



## **Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control** (continued)

- ☐ The project is highly complex and information is included in the Stormwater Report that explains why it is not possible to submit the Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan with the application. A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control has *not* been included in the Stormwater Report but will be submitted *before* land disturbance begins.
- The project is *not* covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit and a copy of the SWPPP is in the Stormwater Report.
- The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit but no SWPPP been submitted. The SWPPP will be submitted BEFORE land disturbance begins.

### **Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan**

- The Post Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan is included in the Stormwater Report and includes the following information:
  - Name of the stormwater management system owners;
  - Party responsible for operation and maintenance;
  - Schedule for implementation of routine and non-routine maintenance tasks;
  - Plan showing the location of all stormwater BMPs maintenance access areas;
  - Description and delineation of public safety features;
  - Estimated operation and maintenance budget; and
  - Operation and Maintenance Log Form.
- The responsible party is *not* the owner of the parcel where the BMP is located and the Stormwater Report includes the following submissions:
  - A copy of the legal instrument (deed, homeowner's association, utility trust or other legal entity) that establishes the terms of and legal responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the project site stormwater BMPs;
  - A plan and easement deed that allows site access for the legal entity to operate and maintain BMP functions.

#### Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

- The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan includes measures to prevent illicit discharges;
- An Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached;
- NO Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached but will be submitted *prior to* the discharge of any stormwater to post-construction BMPs.



# Attachment B

# Soils Data

101 Walnut Street PO Box 9151 Watertown, MA 02472-4026 P 617.924.1770

\\vhb\gbl\proj\Wat-TE\14170.00 Millstone Rd Improv\docs\memos\Stormwater\14170.00 - Stormwater Memo.docx



Natural Resources Conservation Service

USDA

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



## Hydrologic Soil Group

	<b></b>			
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
252A	Carver coarse sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	A	43.9	32.8%
252B	Carver coarse sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes	A	0.7	0.5%
435B	Plymouth loamy coarse sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes	A	38.6	28.9%
435C	Plymouth loamy coarse sand, 8 to 15 percent slopes	A	0.6	0.5%
435D	Plymouth loamy coarse sand, 15 to 35 percent slopes	A	0.0	0.0%
436B	Plymouth loamy coarse sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes, very stony	A	0.8	0.6%
494C	Barnstable-Plymouth- Nantucket complex, rolling, very bouldery	A	49.0	36.6%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		133.7	100.0%

## Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

## **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher




## Attachment C

## Stormwater Management Calculations

101 Walnut Street PO Box 9151 Watertown, MA 02472-4026 P 617.924.1770

\\vhb\gbl\proj\Wat-TE\14170.00 Millstone Rd Improv\docs\memos\Stormwater\14170.00 - Stormwater Memo.docx

Project Name:	Millstone Road Improvement	Proj. No.:	14170.00
		Date:	10/31/2023
Project Location:	Brewster, MA	Calculated by:	LLB

#### **Proposed Impervious Surface Summary**

Increase in Imperv	ious Areas by H	lydrologic Soil Group	(HSG) in acres		
Subcatchment	HSG A	HSG B	HSG C	HSG D	Total Area
1	3.26				3.26
TOTAL	3.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.26

### Required Recharge Volume (Cubic Feet)

HSG	Area	Recharge Depth <sup>a</sup>	Volume
	(acres)	(in.)	(c.f.)
Α	3.3	0.60	7,100
В	0.0	0.35	0
С	0.0	0.25	0
D	0.0	0.10	0
TOTAL			7,100

Assumptions:

<sup>a</sup> Massachusetts DEP Infiltration requirement: HSG A = 0.60 in; HSG B = 0.35 in; HSG C = 0.25 in; HSG D = 0.10 in.

### Capture Area Adjustment

Adjusted Required Recharge Volume:	7,820	c.f.
Capture Area Adjustment Factor	1.10	-
Total Site Impervious Area Draining to Recharge Facilities	2.96	acres
Increase in Site Impervious Area	3.26	acres
Required Recharge Volume	7,100	c.f.

### Provided Recharge Volume (Cubic Feet) and Drawdown Times

Type of	Storage			
Infiltration	Capacity	Bottom Area <sup>b</sup>	Number of Systems <sup>c</sup>	Drawdown
System	(c.f.)	(s.f.)	-	(hours)
LB (Single)	329	89.4	13	5.3
LB (Double)	1,011	317.0	7	4.6
LB (Triple)	1,113	317.0	5	5.1
LB (Triple)	1,403	430.7	3	4.7
LG (2)	201	100.0	4	2.9
LG (3)	274	128.0	9	3.1
LG (4)	353	160.0	15	3.2
LG (5)	432	192.0	10	3.3
Rain Garden	1,197	1505.0	1	1.2
TOTAL for all	25 214			
Infiltration	55,214			

#### Assumptions:

Recharge Rate: 8.27 in/hr\*

\*Rawls rate classification for HSG A

<sup>b</sup> Bottom area = Stone surface area

<sup>c</sup> LB (Single) includes 12 existing leaching basins to remain

LB = leaching basin

LG = leaching galley

### **Recharge Volume Summary**

Volume	
4,283 c.f.	
7,080 c.f.	
9,773 c.f.	
804 c.f.	
2,466 c.f.	
5,293 c.f.	
4,318 c.f.	
1,197 c.f.	
35,214 c.f.	

Adjusted Required Recharge Volume:

7,820 c.f.



## Water Quality Volume Calculations

Project Name: Millstone Road Improvements

Proj. No.: 14170.00

Project Location: Brewster, MA

**Date:** 10/31/2023

Calculated by: LLB

		Total Impervious Area =	9.70	Acres
<u>Required:</u>				
	Runoff Depth to	Required		
	be Treated (in.)	Volume (c.f.)		
Water Quality Volume	1	35,211		

Provided:

Type of Infiltration System	Storage Capacity (c.f.)	Quanitity in Project	Total Storage Capacity (c.f.)
LB (Single)	329	13*	4,283
LB (Double)	1,011	7	7,080
LB (Triple)	1,113	5	5,564
LB (Triple)	1,403	3	4,208
LG (2)	201	4	804
LG (3)	274	9	2,466
LG (4)	353	15	5,293
LG (5)	432	10	4,318
Rain Garden	1,197	1	1,197
<u>Cumulative</u>	e Volume Treated	35,214	

\* includes 12 existing leaching basins to remain



## TSS Removal Calculation Worksheet

VHB, Inc 101 Walnut Street Post Office Box 9151 Watertown, MA 02471 P 617 924 1770	Project Name: Project Number: Location: Discharge Point:	Millstone Rd Improvements 14170.00 Brewster, MA Various	Sheet: Date: Computed by: Checked by:	1 of 3 27-Oct-2023 LLB
A	B	C	D	E
BMP*	TSS Removal Rate*	Starting TSS Load**	Amount Removed (C*D)	Remaining Load (D E)
Rain Garden	90%	1.00	0.90	0.10
	0%	0.10	0.00	0.10
	0%	0.10	0.00	0.10
	0%	0.10	0.00	0.10
	0%	0.10	0.00	0.10
* BMP and TSS Removal Rate	Values from the MassDEP Storn	nwater Handbook Vol. 1.	Treatment Train	

\*\* Equals remaining load from previous BMP (E)

Treatment Train TSS Removal = 90%

\\vhb\gbl\proj\Wat-TE\14170.00 Millstone Rd Improv\docs\memos\Stormwater\TSS Removal Worksheet\_

## **TSS Removal Calculation Worksheet**



t 1 F 1	Project Name:
151	Project Number:
)24/1	Location:
	Discharge Point:
	Drainage Area(s):

Millstone Rd Improvements	Shee
14170.00	Date
Brewster, MA	Computed by
Various	Checked b
Various	

eet:	2 of 3
ate:	17-Aug-2023
l by:	MEB
l by:	LLB

## **1. Pre-Treatment prior to Infiltration**

P 617.924.1770

BMP*	TSS Removal Rate*	Starting TSS Load**	Amount Removed (C*D)	Remaining Load (D-E)
Deep Sump and Hooded Catch Basin	25%	100%	25%	75%
	0%	75%	0%	75%
	0%	75%	0%	75%

Pre-Treatment TSS Removal =

# 25%

## 2. Total TSS Removal including Pretreatment 1.

BMP*	TSS Removal Rate*	Starting TSS Load**	Amount Removed (C*D)	Remaining Load (D-E)
Leaching Catch Basin	80%	80% 100%		20%
	0%	20%	0%	20%
	0%	20%	0%	20%
	0%	20%	0%	20%

\* BMP and TSS Removal Rate Values from the MassDEP Stormwater Handbook Vol. 1.

\*\* Equals remaining load from previous BMP

**Treatment Train** TSS Removal =

80%



## TSS Removal Calculation Worksheet

101 Walnut Street	Project Name:	Millstone Rd Improvements	Sheet:	3 of 3	
Post Office Box 9151	Project Number:	14170.00	Date:	17-Aug-2023	
Watertown, MA 02471	Location:	Brewster, MA	Computed by:	MEB	
P 617.924.1770	Discharge Point:	Various	Checked by:	LLB	
	Drainage Area(s):	Various			

### **1. Pre-Treatment prior to Infiltration**

BMP*	TSS Removal Rate*	Starting TSS Load**	Amount Removed (C*D)	Remaining Load (D-E)
Deep Sump and Hooded Catch Basin	25%	100%	25%	75%
	0%	75%	0%	75%
	0%	75%	0%	75%

Pre-Treatment TSS Removal =

## 2. Total TSS Removal including Pretreatment 1.

BMP*	TSS Removal Rate*	Starting TSS Load**	Amount Removed (C*D)	Remaining Load (D-E)
Subsurface Infiltration Structure	80%	100%	80%	20%
	0%	20%	0%	20%
	0%	20%	0%	20%
	0%	20%	0%	20%

 $^{\star}$  BMP and TSS Removal Rate Values from the MassDEP Stormwater Handbook Vol. 1.

\*\* Equals remaining load from previous BMP (E)

Treatment Train TSS Removal =



25%



## Attachment D

## Operation and Maintenance/Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan

101 Walnut Street PO Box 9151 Watertown, MA 02472-4026 P 617.924.1770

\\vhb\gbl\proj\Wat-TE\14170.00 Millstone Rd Improv\docs\memos\Stormwater\14170.00 - Stormwater Memo.docx

## Millstone Road Improvement Project Stormwater Management System

## Operation and Maintenance Plan (O&M) and Long Term Pollution Prevention Plan (LTPPP)

## October 2023

This Stormwater Management System Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan provides for the inspection and maintenance of structural Best Management Practices (BMPs) and for measures to prevent pollution associated with the Millstone Road Improvement Project in the Town of Brewster.

This document has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Stormwater Regulations included in the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act Regulations (310 CMR 10).

### **Responsible Party**

The Town of Brewster will be responsible for the maintenance of the roadway facilities and associated stormwater management features, in accordance with Town standards.

Questions or concerns regarding maintenance activities may also be addressed to Town of Brewster:

Town of Brewster 201 Run Hill Road Brewster, MA 02631 Phone: (508) 896-3212

#### Maintenance Measures

The stormwater management system covered by this Operation and Maintenance Plan consists of the following components:

- Catch Basins
- Gutter Inlets
- Leaching Basins
- Leaching Galleys
- Rain Garden

Maintenance of these components will be conducted in accordance with Town of Brewster standard maintenance practices, as noted in the attached Operation and Maintenance table summarizing the pertinent inspection and maintenance activities.

If inspection indicates the need for major repairs of structural surfaces, the inspector should contact the Town of Brewster to initiate procedures to effect repairs in accordance with Town of Brewster standard construction practices.

### **Practices for Long Term Pollution Prevention**

In general, long term pollution prevention and related maintenance activities will be conducted consistent with Town of Brewster's NPDES Stormwater MS4 Permit.

For the facilities covered by this Operation and Maintenance Plan, long term pollution prevention includes the following measures:

### Routine Inspection and Maintenance of Stormwater BMPs

The Town of Brewster will conduct inspection and maintenance of the stormwater management practices in accordance with the information in Table 1.

### Spill Prevention and Response

In the event of a release of oil or hazardous materials such as fuels, oils, or chemical materials onto the ground or other areas that could reasonably be expected to discharge to surface or groundwater, reportable quantities will immediately be reported to the applicable Federal, State, and local agencies as required by law. Reportable quantities of chemical, fuels, or oils are established under the Clean Water Act and enforced through DEP.

Applicable containment and cleanup procedures will be performed immediately. Impacted material collected during the response must be removed promptly and disposed of in accordance with Federal, State, and local requirements. A licensed emergency response contractor may be required to assist in cleanup of releases depending on the amount of the release and the ability of the responsible party to perform the required response.

#### Snow and Ice Management

Snow and Ice Management shall be conducted according to standard Town of Brewster standard practices. Stockpiling and disposal of snow or ice removed from highways and streets located outside of a Zone II that contains sodium chloride, chemically treated abrasives or other chemicals used for snow and ice removal is prohibited within Zones I and II of the Town's Groundwater Protection District in accordance with the Town of Brewster Water Quality Protection Bylaw.

#### Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

The DEP Stormwater Management Standards prohibit illicit discharges to the storm water management system. Illicit discharges are discharges that do not entirely consist of stormwater, except for certain specified non-stormwater discharges.

Discharges norm the following detivities are <u>not</u> considered infert discharges.			
firefighting	foundation drains		
water line flushing	footing drains		
landscape irrigation	individual resident car washing		
uncontaminated groundwater	flows from riparian habitats and wetlands		
potable water sources	dechlorinated water from swimming pools		
water used to clean residential buildings	water used for street washing		
without detergents	air conditioning condensation		

Discharges from the following activities are <u>not</u> considered illicit discharges:

There are no known or proposed illicit connections associated with this project. If a potential illicit discharge to the facilities covered by this plan is detected (e.g., dry weather flows at any pipe outlet, evidence of contamination of surface water discharge by non-stormwater sources), the Town of Brewster shall be notified for assistance in determining the nature and source of the discharge, and for resolution through the Town's IDDE program.

Best Management Practice	Sweep	Mow	Inspect	Clean	Repair
Street Sweeping	Annually	NA	NA	NA	NA
Catch Basins	NA	NA	Annually	ANI*	ANI
Gutter Inlets	NA	NA	Annually	ANI	ANI
Leaching Basins	NA	NA	Annually	ANI	ANI
Leaching Galleys	NA	NA	Annually	ANI	ANI
Rain Garden	NA	ANI	Annually	ANI	ANI

 Table 1: Best Management Practices: Operation & Maintenance Measures

NA = Not Applicable

ANI = As needed based on inspection

\* = Remove sediment when catch basin sump is 50% full



## Attachment E

## Existing Stormwater Infrastructure Markups

101 Walnut Street PO Box 9151 Watertown, MA 02472-4026 P 617.924.1770

## Millstone Road

## 11/1/2023

Existing Conditions Drainage Infrastructure Review						
Sheet #	eet # Catch Basins Leach Pits					
8	4	7				
9	4	4				
10	5	4				
11	6	2				
12	0	0				
13	2	2				
14	3	4				
15	2	2				
16	3	3				
17	4	4				
18	1	1				
19	0	0				
Total	34	33				



























## Attachment F

## Proposed Stormwater Infrastructure Markups

101 Walnut Street PO Box 9151 Watertown, MA 02472-4026 P 617.924.1770

## Millstone Road 11/1/2023

Proposed Conditions Drainage Infrastructure Review						
Sheet	CBs	LPs	Galleys			
64	11	8	16			
65	10	2	18			
66	9	2	23			
67	13	5	13			
68	7	2	14			
69	11	6	13			
70	7	3	15			
71	10	5	3			
72	12	5	11			
73	9	8	6			
74	12	5	11			
75	1	0	2			
Total	112	51	145			
























# Abbreviated Stormwater Management Permit Planset (The highlighted sheets in the index below are included in this set)

	INDEX
SHEET NO.	DESCRIPTION
01	TITLE SHEET & INDEX
02	LEGEND
03	ABBREVIATIONS & GENERAL NOTES
04	KEY PLAN
05 - 06	TYPICAL SECTIONS
07	CONSTRUCTION BASELINE TABLES
08 - 19	CONSTRUCTION PLANS
20 - 31	PROFILES
32 - 43	ALIGNMENT & GRADING PLANS
44 - 55	TRAFFIC PLANS
56 - 57	TRAFFIC SIGN SUMMARY SHEET
58	TRAFFIC SIGNAL DETAILS
59 - 63	TEMPORARY TRAFFIC CONTROL PLANS
64 - 75	UTILITY PLANS
76	LANDSCAPE PLAN & DETAILS
77 - 82	CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
83 - 123	CROSS SECTIONS
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A	EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE INFRASTRUCTURE INVENTORY
APPENDIX B	PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRIANAGE INFRASTRUCTURE INVENTORY

# TOWN OF BREWSTER PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

PLAN AND PROFILE OF

# IN THE TOWN OF

# BREWSTER BARNSTABLE COUNTY





LENGTH OF PROJECT = 13,022 FEET = 2.466 MILES

100% DESIGN

TOWN OF BREWSTER MILLSTONE ROAD TITLE SHEET & INDEX SHEET 01 OF 123

THE OCTOBER 2017 CONSTRUCTION STANDARD DETAILS, THE 2015 OVERHEAD SIGNAL STRUCTURE AND FOUNDATION STANDARD DRAWINGS, MASSDOT TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT PLANS AND DETAIL DRAWINGS, THE 1990 STANDARD DRAWINGS FOR SIGNS AND SUPPORTS, THE 1968 STANDARD DRAWINGS FOR TRAFFIC SIGNALS AND HIGHWAY LIGHTING, AND THE LATEST EDITION OF THE AMERICAN STANDARD FOR NURSERY STOCK.

## DESIGN DESIGNATION (MILLSTONE ROAD)

DESIGN SPEED FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION 35-40 MPH MINOR ARTERIAL

DATE		
DATE		
DATE		
DATE		
	DESCRIPTION	REV #
ENGINEER	Vanasse Hau 101 Walnut St., Watertown, MA 617.924.1770 F	DATE <b>ngen Brustlin, Inc.</b> PO Box 9151 02472 AX 617.924.2286 SHEET OF
MLD	SHK	01 123
DRAWN BY DJM	DFTG CHECKED BY	VHB CAD FILE NAME 14170.00_HD(COV) - 01
CHECKED BY	DATE OCTOBER, 2022	JOB NO. 14170.00

GENERAL SYMBOL	S	
EXISTING	PROPOSED	DESCRIPTI
☐ JB	JB	JERSEY BARRIER
🗏 🕀 🏛 СВ	🗐 🥮 СВ	CATCH BASIN
		CATCH BASIN CURB INLET
© FP		
G GP		MAIL BOX
		POST SQUARE
$\bigcirc$	0	POST CIRCULAR
⊕ WELL	⊕ WELL	WELL
□ EHH	□ EHH	ELECTRIC HANDHOLE
O GG	O GG	GAS GATE
• BHL #	BHL #	BORING HOLE
↔ MW #	- <b>⊕</b> MW #	MONITORING WELL
■ TP #	■ TP #	TEST PIT
	く	HYDRANI LIGHT POLE
∽ □ CO.BD.	ጥ	COUNTY BOUND
$\bigcirc \triangle$		GPS POINT
C	©	CABLE MANHOLE
	<b>(b)</b>	DRAINAGE MANHOLE
(E)	E	ELECTRIC MANHOLE
(M)	(M)	MISC MANHOLE
S	Ś	SEWER MANHOLE
	1	TELEPHONE MANHOLE
MHB MON		MASSACHUSETTS HIGHWAY BOUND MONUMENT
□ SB		STONE BOUND
■ TB		TOWN OR CITY BOUND
		TRAVERSE OR TRIANGULATION STATION
- OIPL OF GUY	-0 TPL or GUY	TROLLET POLE OR GUT POLE
-&- UFB	_ <b>⊳</b> _ UFB	UTILITY POLE W/ FIREBOX
-∲- UPDL	-∲- UPDL	UTILITY POLE WITH DOUBLE LIGHT
-6- ULT	_&_ ULT	UTILITY POLE W / 1 LIGHT
UPL	UPL	
s₩ ¥		TREE
		STUMP
		SWAMP / MARSH
• WG	• WG	WATER GATE
• PM	• PM	- OVERHEAD CABLE/WIRE
		= CURBING
_10099		- CONTOURS (ON-THE-GROUND SURVEY DAT
-100 - 99		
		- UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC DUCT (DOUBLE
G		- UNDERGROUND GAS MAIN (DOUBLE LINE 2
		- UNDERGROUND SEWER MAIN (DOUBLE LIN
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	BALANCED STONE WALL
L	<u> </u>	- GUARD RAIL - STEEL POSTS
	<u></u>	- GUARD RAIL - WOOD POSTS
X	x	- CHAIN LINK OR METAL FENCE
	8 . [ ] ] ]	- WOOD FENCE · HAY BALES/SILT FENCE
		TREE LINE
		- SAWCUT LINE
		- TOP OR BOTTOM OF SLOPE
		- LIMIT OF EDGE OF PAVEMENT OR COLD PLA BANK OF RIVER OR STREAM
		BORDER OF WETLAND
		100 FT WETLAND BUFFER
·		200 FT RIVERFRONT BUFFER
		- STATE HIGHWAY LAYOUT
		- COUNTY LAYOUT
		-RAILROAD SIDELINE
		TOWN OR CITY BOUNDARY LINE
──── ᡛ────		PROPERTY LINE OR APPROXIMATE PROPER

## IPTION

## TRAFFIC SYMBOLS

EXISTING	PROPOSED	DESC
Ø 1	<i>Ø</i> 1	CONTROLLER PHASE ACTUATED
	000	TRAFFIC SIGNAL HEAD (SIZE AS NOTED)
		WIRE LOOP DETECTOR (6' x 6' TYP UNLES
~	<b>T</b>	VIDEO DETECTION CAMERA
	M	MICROWAVE DETECTOR
$\oplus$	•	PEDESTRIAN PUSH BUTTON, SIGN (DIREC
*	*	EMERGENCY PREEMPTION CONFIRMATIO
<	◄	VEHICULAR SIGNAL HEAD
≪	←	VEHICULAR SIGNAL HEAD, OPTICALLY PR
<	◄	FLASHING BEACON
		PEDESTRIAN SIGNAL HEAD, (TYPE AS NO
RRSG	🛛 RRSG	RAILROAD SIGNAL
	•	SIGNAL POST AND BASE (ALPHA-NUMERIC
00	● <b>2</b> 0'	MAST ARM, SHAFT AND BASE (ARM LENG
		HIGH MAST POLE OR TOWER
		SIGN AND POST
00	00	SIGN AND POST (2 POSTS)
	★ 20'	MAST ARM WITH LUMINAIRE
	<b>—</b> ——	OPTICAL PRE-EMPTION DETECTOR
$\bowtie$	$\boxtimes$	CONTROL CABINET, GROUND MOUNTED
		CONTROL CABINET, POLE MOUNTED
	<b>X</b> •I	FLASHING BEACON CONTROL AND METER
$\bowtie$	×	LOAD CENTER ASSEMBLY
		PULL BOX 12"x12" (OR AS NOTED)
		ELECTRIC HANDHOLE 12"x24" (OR AS NOT
		TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONDUIT

## DATA)

LINE 24 INCH AND OVER) BLE LINE 24 INCH AND OVER)	PAVEMENT MARKINGS SYMBOLS				
NE 24 INCH AND OVER)	EXISTING	PROPOSED	DE		
UBLE LINE 24 INCH AND OVER)		<b>*</b> 1	PAVEMENT ARROW - WHITE		
E LINE 24 INCH AND OVER)	ONLY	ONLY	LEGEND "ONLY" - WHITE		
		SL	STOP LINE		
		CW	CROSSWALK		
		SWL	SOLID WHITE LINE		
		SYL	SOLID YELLOW LINE		
		BWL	BROKEN WHITE LINE		
		BYL	BROKEN YELLOW LINE		
PLANE AND OVERLAY		<u>DWL</u>	DOTTED WHITE LINE		
		<u>DYL</u>	DOTTED YELLOW LINE		
		DWLEx	DOTTED WHITE LINE EXTENSION		
		DYLEx	DOTTED YELLOW LINE EXTENSION		
		DBWL	DOUBLE WHITE LINE		
		DBYL	DOUBLE YELLOW LINE		

PERTY LINE

TOWN OF BREWSTER MILLSTONE ROAD LEGEND SHEET 02 OF 123

ESCRIPTION

NLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED)

IRECTIONAL ARROW AS SHOWN) AND SADDLE ATION STROBE LIGHT

Y PROGRAMMED

S NOTED OR AS SPECIFIED)

IERIC DESIGNATION NOTED) ENGTH AS NOTED)

ETER PEDESTAL

NOTED)

ESCRIPTION

GENERAL ABI	BREVIATIONS
ABAN	ABANDON
ADJ	ADJUST
APPROX	APPROXIMATE
A.C.	ASPHALT CONCRETE
	ASPHALT COATED CORRUGATED METAL PIPE
BC	BOTTOM OF CURB
BD.	BOUND
BL	BASELINE
BLDG	BUILDING
BM	BENCHMARK
BOS	BOTTOM OF SLOPE
BR.	BRIDGE
CC	CEMENT CONCRETE
CCM	CEMENT CONCRETE MASONRY
CEM	
CLF	CHAIN LINK FENCE
CL	CENTERLINE
CO.	COUNTY
CONC	CONCRETE
CONI	
CR GR	CROWN GRADE
DIA	DIAMETER
DWY	DRIVEWAY
ELEV (or EL.)	ELEVATION
EMB	
EQ	EQUAL
EXIST (or EX)	EXISTING
EXC	EXCAVATION
FDN.	FOUNDATION
FUP FLDSTN	FULL DEPTH PAVEMENT
GAR	GARAGE
GD	GROUND
GRAN	GRANITE
GRAV	GRAVEL
GRD HMA	GUARD HOT MIX ASPHALT
HOR	HORIZONTAL
HWY	HIGHWAY
JCT	JUNCTION
	LOAM BORROW
LT	LEFT
MAHWL	MEAN AVERAGE HIGH WATER LINE
MAX	MAXIMUM
MB	
MIN	MASSACHUSETTS HIGHWAY BOUND MINIMUM
MOD	MODIFIED
MSE	MECHANICALLY STABILIZED EARTH
NERR	
NU. NTS	NOT TO SCALE
O.C.	ON CENTER
O.D.	OUTSIDE DIAMETER
P.G.L.	
	PREVIOUS/PREVIOUSLY PROJECT
PROP	PROPOSED
PSB	PLANTABLE SOIL BORROW
PVMT	PAVEMENT
R&D	REMOVE AND DISCARD
R&S	REMOVE AND STACK
RD	ROAD
RDWY	ROADWAY
REB	REBUILD
KEM REMOD	REMODEL
RET	RETAIN
RET WALL	RETAINING WALL
ROW	RIGHT OF WAY
KR PT	RAILRUAD
SB	STONE BOUND
SHLD	SHOULDER
SHLO/S.H.L.O.	STATE HIGHWAY LAYOUT LINE

GENERAL	ABBREVIATIONS	(CONT

ST	STREET
STA	STATION
STD	STANDARD
SW	SIDEWALK
TEMP	TEMPORARY
ТС	TOP OF CURB
TOS	TOP OF SLOPE
TRANS	TRANSITION
TRM	TURF REINFORCING MAT
TYP	TYPICAL
VAR	VARIES
VERT	VERTICAL
WCR	WHEEL CHAIR RAMP
WP	WORKING POINT
X-SECT	CROSS SECTION

JTILITY AE	BREVIATIONS	
СВ	CATCH BASIN	_
CBCI	CATCH BASIN WITH CURB INLET	
	CAST IRON PIPE	
	CHANGE IN TYPE	
CMP		
CSP	CORRUGATED STEEL PIPE	
)		
)IP	DUCTILE IRON PIPE	
ES	FLARED END SECTION	
 &C	FRAME AND COVER	
&G	FRAME AND GRATE	
ac AG	GAS GATE	
20 21	GUTTER INI ET	
SIP	GAI VANIZED IRON PIPE	
IDPF	HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE PIPE	
	HEADWALL	
	HYDRANT	
NV	INVERT	
B		
G		
PI		
л <u>с</u> ЛН		
/TR	MANIOLE	
/\\/		
)H/V/		
νC ΝΛ/\Λ/		
хог хмн		
S\/&B		
// NG	WATER GATE	
VN/	WATER METER/MATER MAIN	
V I V I		
C	CENTER OF CURVE	
IP _	HIGH POINT	
T.	INTERSECTION OF TANGENT	
P	LOW POINT	
°C	POINT OF CURVATURE	
229	POINT OF COMPOUND CURVATURE	
2	POINT OF INTERSECTION	
PNT	POINT	
200	POINT ON CURVE	
ΤΟ	POINT ON TANGENT	
'RC	POINT OF REVERSE CURVATURE	
Υ	POINT OF TANGENCY	

SPOT ELEVATION

TANGENT

ANGLE POINT

∠PT

TAN

25.45

### PROFILE ABBREVIATIONS

D	ALGEBRAIC DIFFERENCE IN RATES OF GRADE
SD	HORIZONTAL SIGHT DISTANCE
	RATE OF VERTICAL CURVATURE
	LENGTH OF CURVE
VC	POINT OF VERTICAL CURVATURE
VCC	POINT OF VERTICAL COMPOUND CURVATURE
VI	POINT OF VERTICAL INTERSECTION
VRC	POINT OF VERTICAL REVERSE CURVATURE
VT	POINT OF VERTICAL TANGENCY
SD	STOPPING SIGHT DISTANCE
С	VERTICAL CURVE

ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC

CLOSED CIRCUIT VIDEO EQUIPMENT

STEADY DON'T WALK - PORTLAND ORANGE

### **TRAFFIC & SIGNAL ABBREVIATIONS**

CABINET

CONDUIT

CROSS WALK

HAND HOLE

OVERLAP

PULL BOX

STOP LINE

TRUCK %

STEADY WALK

TS OR TR SIG TRAFFIC SIGNAL

PEDESTRIAN

PAN, TILE, ZOOM

STEADY CIRCULAR RED

STEADY RED LEFT ARROW

TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONDUIT

STEADY CIRCULAR AMBER

STEADY AMBER LEFT ARROW

STEADY RED RIGHT ARROW

DESIGN HOURLY VOLUME

FLASHING CIRCULAR RED

FLASHING RED LEFT ARROW

FLASHING RED RIGHT ARROW

FLASHING CIRCULAR AMBER

STEADY CIRCULAR GREEN

STEADY GREEN LEFT ARROW

STEADY GREEN RIGHT ARROW

STEADY GREEN SLASH LEFT ARROW

STEADY GREEN VERTICAL ARROW

STEADY GREEN SLASH RIGHT ARROW

FLASHING AMBER LEFT ARROW

FLASHING AMBER RIGHT ARROW

FLASHING DON'T WALK

AADT CAB.

CCVE

COND

CW

DW

DHV

FDW

FR

FRL

FRR

FY

FYL

G GL

FYR

GR

GSL

GSR

GV

ΗH

OL

PB

PED

PTZ

SL

TSC

W

YL

RADIUS OF CURVATURE TANGENT DISTANCE OF CURVE

## GENERAL NOTES:

- JULY 2018.
- 1988 (NAVD88).
- PROMPTLY NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES.
- UNDERGROUND UTILITIES.
- GREATER THAN 5' WILL BE PAID UNDER CLASS B TRENCH EXCAVATION.
- THE ENGINEER FOR RESOLUTION OF THE CONFLICT.
- AND ANY OTHER PRIVATE UTILITIES BY THE UTILITY COMPANIES.
- 10. EXISTING UTILITY POLES WILL BE RELOCATED BY OTHERS IF REQUIRED.

- EXISTING MATERIALS IDENTIFIED AS "REMOVE AND RESET" (R&R).
- BITUMEN AND BACKSANDED.

- ADDITIONAL COST.
- THE PLANS.
- WITH THE PROPERTY OWNER.
- UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

### TOWN OF BREWSTER MILLSTONE ROAD **ABBREVIATIONS & GENERAL NOTES** SHEET 03 OF 123

1. EXISTING CONDITIONS AND TOPOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION FROM AN ACTUAL FIELD SURVEY CONDUCTED BY J.M. O'REILLY IN

2. THE HORIZONTAL CONTROL IS BASED ON THE MASSACHUSETTS MAINLAND STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM AND THE NATIONAL GEODETIC SURVEY (NAD83). ALL ELEVATION IS US FEET, REFERENCED TO THE NORTH AMERICA VERTICAL DATUM OF

3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING DIMENSIONS AND GRADES IN THE FIELD BEFORE COMMENCING WORK AND

4. THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES ARE SHOWN IN AN APPROXIMATE WAY ONLY AND HAVE NOT BEEN INDEPENDENTLY VERIFIED BY THE OWNER OR ITS REPRESENTATIVE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES BEFORE COMMENCING WORK, AND SHALL BE FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY AND ALL DAMAGES WHICH MIGHT BE OCCASIONED BY THE CONTRACTOR'S FAILURE TO EXACTLY LOCATE AND PRESERVE ANY AND ALL

DRAINAGE ELEVATIONS ARE PROVIDED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES ONLY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY BY TEST PIT, THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING UTILITIES WHICH MAY CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED DRAINAGE DESIGN. ANY FIELD ADJUSTMENTS REQUIRED WILL BE MADE AS APPROVED OR DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER. ONLY AFTER THE CONTRACTOR VERIFIES ELEVATIONS FOR THE CONSTRUCTABILITY OF THE DRAINAGE SYSTEM SHALL ANY STRUCTURES BE ORDERED. ANY FIELD ADJUSTMENTS TO LINE & GRADE UP TO A DEPTH OF 5' SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE COST OF THE PIPE. PIPE EXCAVATION

6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY BY TEST PIT, THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING UTILITIES WHICH MAY CONFLICT WITH PROPOSED UTILITIES. ANY FIELD ADJUSTMENTS REQUIRED WILL BE MADE AS APPROVED OR DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.

7. WHERE AN EXISTING UTILITY IS FOUND TO CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED WORK, THE LOCATION, ELEVATION AND SIZE OF THE UTILITY SHALL BE ACCURATELY DETERMINED WITHOUT DELAY BY THE CONTRACTOR, AND THE INFORMATION FURNISHED TO

8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ALTER THE MASONRY OF THE TOP SECTION OF ALL EXISTING DRAINAGE AND SEWER STRUCTURES AS NECESSARY FOR CHANGES IN GRADE, AND RESET ALL WATER AND DRAINAGE FRAMES, GRATES AND BOXES TO THE PROPOSED FINISH SURFACE GRADE. REQUIRED NEW MASONRY SHALL BE CLAY BRICK.

9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAKE ALL ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE ALTERATION AND ADJUSTMENT OF GAS, ELECTRIC, TELEPHONE

11. TREES AND SHRUBS WITHIN THE LIMITS OF GRADING SHALL BE REMOVED ONLY UPON APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER.

12. AREAS OUTSIDE THE LIMITS OF PROPOSED WORK DISTURBED BY THE CONTRACTOR'S OPERATIONS SHALL BE RESTORED BY THE CONTRACTOR TO THEIR ORIGINAL CONDITION AT NO EXPENSE TO THE OWNER.

13. THE TERM "PROPOSED" (PROP) MEANS WORK TO BE CONSTRUCTED USING NEW MATERIALS OR, WHERE APPLICABLE, RE-USING

14. JOINTS BETWEEN NEW ASPHALT CONCRETE ROADWAY PAVEMENT AND SAWCUT EXISTING PAVEMENT SHALL BE SEALED WITH

15. AFTER MILLING OPERATIONS AND PRIOR TO PAVING THE SUPERPAVE INTERMEDIATE OR SURFACES COURSES THE ENGINEER SHALL EVALUATE THE MILLED SURFACE AND SHALL APPLY THE APPROPRIATE REPAIR METHOD IF REQUIRED.

16. ALL EXISTING STATE, COUNTY, AND TOWN LOCATION LINES AND PRIVATE PROPERTY LINES HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED FROM AVAILABLE INFORMATION AND THEIR EXACT LOCATIONS ARE NOT GUARANTEED.

17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL EXERCISE DUE CARE WHEN WORKING AROUND ALL PROPERTY BOUNDS WHICH ARE TO REMAIN. SHOULD ANY DAMAGE TO A BOUND RESULT FROM THE ACTIONS OF THE CONTRACTOR, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE THE BOUND REPLACED AND/OR REALIGNED BY A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER AT NO

18. DISPOSAL OF ALL SURPLUS MATERIAL SHALL BE AS APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER AND TOWN.

19. LATERAL DRAIN PIPES SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH A PITCH OF 0.01 FOOT PER FOOT (MINIMUM) UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE ON

20. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE THE NEW LOCATION OF ALL PRIVATE MAILBOXES THAT ARE TO BE REMOVED AND RESET

21. ALL ABANDONED UNDERGROUND PIPE SHALL BE CAPPED WITH A MASONRY PLUG AS INDICATED AND ABANDONED IN PLACE



![](_page_79_Figure_0.jpeg)

TOWN OF BREWSTER MILLSTONE ROAD **TYPICAL SECTIONS** SHEET 05 OF 123

## **PAVEMENT NOTES**

PROPOSED FULL DEPTH PAVEMENT (TYPE 1)

FROFUSED FULL DE		
SURFACE:	1 3/4" 1 3/4"	SUPERPAVE SURFACE COURSE 12.5 (SSC-12.5) OVER SUPERPAVE INTERMEDIATE COURSE 12.5 (SIC-12.5)
BASE:	3.5"	SUPERPAVE BASE COURSE 25.0 (SBC-25.0)
SUBBASE:	4" 8"	DENSE GRADED CRUSHED STONE OVER GRAVEL BORROW, TYPE b
PROPOSED FULL DE	PTH PAVE	MENT (TYPE 2) - WIDTH LESS THAN 3 FEET
SURFACE:	1 3/4" 1 3/4"	SUPERPAVE SURFACE COURSE 12.5 (SSC-12.5) OVER SUPERPAVE INTERMEDIATE COURSE 12.5 (SIC-12.5)
BASE:	6"	CEMENT CONCRETE BASE COURSE
SUBBASE:	4" 8"	DENSE GRADED CRUSHED STONE OVER GRAVEL BORROW, TYPE b
PROPOSED PAVEME		G AND OVERLAY
SURFACE:	1 3/4" 2 1/4"	SUPERPAVE SURFACE COURSE 12.5 (SSC-12.5) OVER SUPERPAVE INTERMEDIATE COURSE 12.5 (SIC-12.5)
MILLING:	3"	PAVEMENT MICROMILLING
PROPOSED HOT MIX	ASPHALT	DRIVEWAY
SURFACE:	1 1/2" 2"	SUPERPAVE SURFACE COURSE 9.5 (SSC-9.5) OVER SUPERPAVE INTERMEDIATE COURSE 12.5 (SIC-12.5)
SUBBASE:	8"	GRAVEL BORROW, TYPE b
PROPOSED HOT MIX	ASPHALT	WALK
SURFACE:	1"	SUPERPAVE SURFACE COURSE 9.5 (SSC-9.5) OVER
	1 1/2"	SUPERPAVE INTERMEDIATE COURSE 12.5 (SIC-12.5)
SUBBASE:	8"	GRAVEL BORROW, TYPE b
PROPOSED CEMEN	T CONCRE	TE WHEELCHAIR RAMP
SURFACE:	4" (	CEMENT CONCRETE AIR ENTRAINED 4000 PSI, ¾", 610
SUBBASE:	8" (	GRAVEL BORROW, TYPE b
PROPOSED SHELL [	DRIVEWAY	, -
SURFACE:	4" 5	SHELLS
SUBBASE:	8" (	GRAVEL BORROW, TYPE b
PROPOSED GRAVEL		<u>AY</u>
SURFACE:	8" (	GRAVEL BORROW, TYPE b
PROPOSED COBBLE	ESTONE DI	RIVEWAY
SURFACE:	COBBL	ESTONES REMOVED AND RELAID, SET IN MORTAR
SUBBASE:	8" (	GRAVEL BORROW, TYPE b
GENERAL NOTES.		
1. ALL HOT MIX AS	PHALT PA	VEMENTS SHALL BE PER LATEST EDITION OF SECTION

- 450 HOT MIX ASPHALT AND SECTION M3 ASPHALTIC MATERIALS. 2. ALL HMA FOR PATCHING, ASPHALT EMULSION FOR TACK COAT, AND HMA JOINT
- SEALANT SHALL BE APPLIED PER SECTION 450 AND M3. 3. HMA JOINT SEALANT (ITEM 453.) SHALL BE APPLIED IN SURFACE COURSE AT ALL
- VERTICAL COLD JOINTS PRIOR TO HMA PAVING. 4. ALL HOT MIX ASPHALT WALKS AND DRIVEWAYS SHALL BE ESTIMATED AND PAID FOR UNDER ITEM 702 OF STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAYS AND
- BRIDGES. 5. SURFACE PAVING TO BE COMPLETED AT THE END OF THE PROJECT AND AS DIRECTED WHEN IT CAN BE PLACED IN ITS ENTIRETY.
- 6. ALL FRAMES AND SERVICE BOXES SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO INTERMEDIATE COURSE AND ADJUSTED LEVEL WITH SURFACE COURSE PRIOR TO PAVING WITH HMA JOINT SEALANT.
- 7. WHERE EXISTING CROSS SLOPE EXCEEDS 6% USE A LEVELING COURSE TO REDUCE SLOPE TO 6%.

2:1 MAX –

\_\_\_\_ MEET EXIST -PROP 4" LOAM & SEED-/

PROP HMA WALK

MEET EXIST PROP 4" LOAM & SEED-

2:1 MAX

MEET EXIST PROP 4" LOAM & SEED

\_\_\_\_

PROP HMA WALK -

![](_page_80_Figure_8.jpeg)

### **TOWN OF BREWSTER** MILLSTONE ROAD **TYPICAL SECTIONS** SHEET 06 OF 123

/- 2:1 MAX └─ MEET EXIST PROP 4" LOAM & SEED

└─ PROP HMA WALK

![](_page_81_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_82_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_83_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_84_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_85_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_86_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_89_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_90_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_91_Figure_0.jpeg)

		I	MILLST	ONE RD	DRAINAG	E STRUC	TURE DATA
	NO.	TYPE	STATION	RIM ELEV.	INV. IN	INV. OUT	REMARKS
	240	GI	11.5 LT	110.90		(241) 108.40	
	241	СВ	327+76.1 5.5 LT	110.88	(240) 108.38	(243) 106.88	PROP FRAME & COVER
	242	СВ	327+76.1 18.7 RT	110.41		(243) 106.41	
	243	LG	327+80.0 4.0 RT	111.00	(241) 106.80 (242) 106.30		
	N/F JONATHAN F W 1269 MILLSTON SSORS' MAP/PH CERTIFICATE	VATKINS JVN ARCEL: 2124	5 0 85/156 56				
●9"Oak				(2)11"0ak		13"Pil	ne CARDEN
9"0ak 11"0ak		(2)1	1"0ak	12"Oak	GRAVEL	10000	d"SPEED
9"0ak	, ju	jj			Jak		COBBLESTONE AL
9"Oak	(2)	Jak S	MBY			6'	- 12" HDPE
- UI"OOK UUD - 10"O	AK O				327		243 LT
EDGE CLANAY PREEMANS WAY		TBL	4				" DEED LIMIT
8 NILLSTO	ONE RD CONS					TIP#17/66	
		Ŧ.			BIT	(-(2)10	•9"Pine
CONTRACTOR SHEET	BIT DRIVE	-	GARDE	.N	(3)BI	SH GUY	SET GUY
CLEARING o"Oak	-			/		L RET	$UP \circ 10^{\circ Oak}$
DGE OF OF				/		BOP LEACHIN	NG GALLEYS (4)
12"Pine 10"Oak	11"0ak				/ -	N/F	ESTATE
Pine					ALBERTIN 1270	A ALVES LIE F MILLSTONE F	ROAD CEL: 85/51
N/F NADOLY					ASSESSOR	TFICATE #: 1	80022
RICHARD & KIMBERLI ROAD RICHARD & MILLSTONE ROAD 1244 MILLSTONE ROAD	2						
ASSESSORS' MAFT #: 177510 CERTIFICATE #: 177510							

![](_page_92_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_93_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_94_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_95_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_95_Figure_1.jpeg)

- MIX ASPHALT
- FOR HMA CURB
- ACCEPTABLE UNDER SECTION M4 OF THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS MAY BE USED. ALL TEST REQUIREMENTS ARE WAIVED. HOT MIX

# SCALE: N.T.S.

![](_page_95_Figure_7.jpeg)

SCALE: N.T.S.

![](_page_96_Figure_0.jpeg)

TOWN OF BREWSTER MILLSTONE ROAD **CONSTRUCTION DETAILS** SHEET 78 OF 123

- OVERFLOW OUTLET (SEE DRAINAGE PLAN)

- CRUSHED STONE BIORETENTION SOIL MIXTURE OUTLET PIPE TO SITE DRAINAGE SYSTEM

- FILTER FABRIC MIRAFI 140N - UNCOMPACTED SUBGRADE

![](_page_96_Figure_11.jpeg)

DEEP SUMP **CATCH BASIN** SCALE: N.T.S.

![](_page_97_Figure_0.jpeg)

# WHEELCHAIR RAMP - LESS THAN 6.50' WIDTH

SCALE: N.T.S.

				WHEELCHA	AIR RAMP DA	TA					
		SIDEWALK	RAMP	RAMP			LEFT SIDE			<b>RIGHT SIDE</b>	
NO.	LOCATION (REF POINT)	WIDTH	WIDTH	LENGTH	ELEV	ROADWAY GUTTER	REVEAL	TRANS	ROADWAY GUTTER	REVEAL	TRANS
1	STA 311+35.46, 6.9 RT ALGN - ROUTE 6A RECORD BASELINE	5.4'-5.5'	5'-0"	5'-5"	44.24	-1.23%	6"	6.5'	0.65%	6"	7.67'
2	STA 311+35.36, 17.0 LT ALGN - ROUTE 6A RECORD BASELINE	5'-6"	5'-0"	5'-6"	44.25	1.67%	6"	9.0'	-1.54%	6"	6.5'

![](_page_97_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_97_Figure_5.jpeg)

## WHEELCHAIR RAMP - LESS THAN 6.50' WIDTH WITH GRASS STRIP SCALE: N.T.S.

				WHEELCHA	AIR RAMP DA	ГА					
			RAMP	RAMP			LEFT SIDE			RIGHT SIDE	
NO.	LOCATION (REF POINT)	WIDTH	WIDTH	LENGTH	ELEV	ROADWAY GUTTER	REVEAL	TRANS	ROADWAY GUTTER	REVEAL	TRANS
35	STA 67+10.46, 20.45 LT ALGN - LONG POND ROAD RECORD BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-0"	4'-0"	107.38	3.43%	2"	6.5'	N/A	N/A	N/A
36	STA 249+92.96, 12.5 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	5'-0"	4'-0"	106.01	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.31%	2"	6.5'
37	STA 249+92.96, 12.5 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	5'-0"	4'-0"	106.23	-1.85%	2"	6.5'	2.77%	2"	6.5'
38	STA 259+23.46, 12.5 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	5'-0"	4'-0"	102.62	-0.15%	2"	6.5'	-3.04%	2"	6.5'
39	STA 259+23.46, 12.5 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	5'-0"	4'-0"	102.59	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.15%	2"	6.5'

### TOWN OF BREWSTER MILLSTONE ROAD **CONSTRUCTION DETAILS** SHEET 79 OF 123

= LIMITS OF CEM CONC RAMP

= DETECTABLE WARNING PANEL

LEGEND

![](_page_98_Figure_0.jpeg)

		WHEELCHAI	R RAMP DAT	A			
NO.	LOCATION (REF POINT)	SIDEWALK WIDTH	TRANS LENGTH	"L"	OPENING ELEV	ROADWAY GUTTER	REVEAL
3	STA 206+42.90, 15.3 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-6"	6'-6"	3.62'	50.66'	0.00%	6"
4	STA 206+66.86, 15.3 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-6"	14'-0"	3.62'	50.96'	3.14%	6"
5	STA 226+11.87, 15.7 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5.1' - 5.5'	6'-6"	14.68	94.81'	-1.38%	6"
6	STA 226+82.56, 15.7 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5.1' - 5.5'	11'-0"	11.28'	97.05'	2.45%	6"
10	STA 237+55.05, 15.7 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5.1' - 5.5'	6'-6"	11.16'	117.14'	-1.38%	6"
11	STA 240+56.88, 15.7 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	4.0' - 5.5'	14'-0"	5.0'	112.57'	3.57%	6"
20	STA 260+04.01, 15.7 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5.1' - 5.5'	6'-6"	13.49'	100.25'	-1.85%	6"
21	STA 268+22.22, 15.7 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5.2' - 5.5'	6'-6"	8.19'	118.49'	-2.77%	6"
22	STA 269+28.54, 15.7 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	4.0' - 5.5'	6'-6"	10.50'	120.04'	-6.46%	6"
25	STA 276+86, 15.7 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	4.0' - 5.5'	7'-8"	6.15' *	116.96'	0.91%	6"
26	STA 277+39.20, 15.7 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	4.0' - 5.4'	6'-6"	7.95' *	116.41'	0.00%	6"
28	STA 292+65.09, 15.7 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	4.0' - 5.5'	6'-6"	6.49' *	112.88'	-0.15%	6"
29	STA 296+37.27, 16.2 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	4.0' - 5.3'	7'-8"	6.00' *	112.04'	0.26%	6"
30	STA 297+25, 16.4 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5.0'	N/A	1.87'	111.61'	N/A	6"
31	STA 296+85.77, 18.8 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5.0'	N/A	5.30' *	111.51'	N/A	6"
32	STA 297+25, 16.4 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	4.0' - 5.5'	6'-6"	8.77' *	111.39'	-0.15%	6"
33	STA 313+26.07, 15.7 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	4.0' - 5.5'	7'-8"	6.14' *	111.06'	0.52%	6"
34	STA 313+79.98, 15.7 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	4.0' - 5.4'	7'-8"	9.53' *	110.66'	0.62%	6"

### TOWN OF BREWSTER MILLSTONE ROAD CONSTRUCTION DETAILS SHEET 80 OF 123

![](_page_99_Figure_0.jpeg)

MAIN STREET

### \*TOLERANCE FOR CONSTRUCTION ±0.5%

# ONE DIRECTIONAL WHEELCHAIR RAMP WITH GRASS STRIP

SCA	LE: NTS						
		WHEELCHAI	R RAMP DAT	A			
NO.	LOCATION (REF POINT)	SIDEWALK WIDTH	TRANS LENGTH	"L"	OPENING ELEV	ROADWAY GUTTER	REVEAL
7	STA 233+80.62, 18.8 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	7.52'	118.92'	8.61%	2"
8	STA 234+13.74, 18.8 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	7.45'	118.55'	1.69%	2"
9	STA 237+16.16, 18.6 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	7.37'	117.86'	-0.15%	2"
12	STA 240+87.35, 18.8 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	3.52'	111.31'	-4.77%	6"
13	STA 245+21.57, 18.8 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	5.97'	105.28'	2.77%	2"
14	STA 245+46.88, 18.8 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	5.97'	106.03'	1.38%	2"
15	STA 250+18.63, 18.8 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	12.90'	105.75'	1.85%	2"
16	STA 250+96.58, 18.8 RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	13.87'	105.30'	0.92%	2"
17	STA 255+08.66, 18.8 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	10.07'	102.52'	1.85%	2"
18	STA 255+48.74, 18.8 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	5.95'	102.57'	1.69%	2"
19	STA 259+50.59, 18.8 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	8.66'	101.94'	3.03%	2"
23	STA 271+83.95, 18.8 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	7.42'	120.62'	2.77%	2"
24	STA 272+08.91, 18.8 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	7.39'	121.59'	-1.23%	2"
27	STA 292+04.23, 19.0 LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5'-0"	6'-6"	7.59'	113.43'	0.31%	2"

\*\*PLACE DETECTABLE WARNING PANEL AT GRADE BREAK IF LESS THAN 5'. AT GRADE BREAK IF LESS THAN 5. PLACE DETECTABLE WARNING PANEL AT GUTTER IF "L" IS GREATER THAN 5'. PLACE DETECTABLE WARNING PANEL CORNERS 6" FROM GUTTER.

![](_page_99_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_99_Figure_9.jpeg)

# HMA DRIVEWAY WITH HMA SIDEWALK

SCALE: NTS

	DRIVEV	VAY DATA			
		ROADWAY	OPENING		
NO.	LOCATION (REF POINT)	GUTTER	ELEV	LEFT SIDE TRANS	RIGHT SIDE TRANS
1	STA 210+07.82 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	1.45%	59.04	15'-0"	6'-6"
2	STA 211+64.43, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	2.97%	62.03	6'-6"	14'-0"
3	STA 212+75.00, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5.97%	66.53	15'-0"	6'-6"
4	STA 215+37.14, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	2.57%	80.42	14'-0"	6'-6"
5	STA 216+02.83, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	0.55%	81.44	9'-0"	6'-6"
6	STA 216+97.46, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	1.37%	82.09	7'-8"	6'-6"
7	STA 218+24.15, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	3.57%	85.35	11'-0"	6'-6"
8	STA 218+90.29, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	0.94%	86.94	11'-0"	6'-6"
9	STA 220+34.89, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	0.42%	87.58	9'-0"	6'-6"
10	STA 220+84.01, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	0.50%	87.94	7'-8"	6'-6"
11	STA 222+20.41, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	1.73%	89.34	7'-8"	6'-6"
12	STA 223+26.45, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	2.86%	92.22	11'-0"	6'-6"
13	STA 223+59.38, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	1.97%	93.05	11'-0"	6'-6"
14	STA 225+21.82, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	0.24%	94.21	7'-8"	6'-6"
15	STA 229+33.04, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	0.91%	102.88	7'-8"	6'-6"

16	STA 229+98.28, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	1.09%	103.70	14'-0"	6'-6"
17	STA 231+15.89, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	4.51%	107.97	14'-0"	6'-6"
18	STA 238+78.40, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-1.29%	115.48	6'-6"	7'-8"
19	STA 238+78.40, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-1.85%	114.63	6'-6"	9'-0"
20	STA 255+63.66, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	2.43%	101.450	11'-0"	6'-6"
21	STA 257+20.71, 12.5' RT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	0.73%	105.39	7'-8"	6'-6"
22	STA 261+54.66, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	2.48%	100.81	6'-6"	11'-0"
23	STA 262+66.36, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	3.92%	104.61	6'-6"	14'-0"
24	STA 264+02.82, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	5.16%	111.09	6'-6"	15'-0"
25	STA 264+56.93, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	4.54%	113.61	6'-6"	15'-0"
26	STA 266+23.98, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-0.02%	115.76	6'-6"	7'-8"
27	STA 278+25.89, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	0.30%	116.99	6'-6"	9'-0"
28	STA 295+63.96, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-1.71%	112.51	9'-0"	6'-6"
29	STA 298+98.21, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-0.47%	112.21	7'-8"	6'-6"
30	STA 300+81.84, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-0.90%	109.93	6'-6"	7'-8"
31	STA 302+43.46, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	2.16%	110.90	6'-6"	9'-0"
32	STA 302+84.44, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	3.91%	112.08	6'-6"	11'-0"
33	STA 303+44.79, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-0.48%	113.23	7'-8"	6'-6"
34	STA 303+92.72, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-0.60%	112.73	6'-6"	7'-8"
35	STA 304+65.68, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	0.11%	112.34	9'-0"	6'-6"
36	STA 305+05.21, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	1.33%	112.89	6'-6"	14'-0"
37	STA 305+57.15, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	1.30%	113.39	6'-6"	7'-8"
38	STA 306+85.02, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-0.68%	113.70	7'-8"	6'-6"
39	STA 308+39.42, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-1.06%	113.09	6'-6"	7'-8"
40	STA 309+13.09, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-0.85%	112.51	9'-0"	6'-6"
41	STA 310+07.28, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-0.76%	111.93	6'-6"	7'-8"
42	STA 311+84.19, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-0.02%	111.30	7'-8"	6'-6"
43	STA 315+25.93, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	0.36%	111.84	6'-6"	7'-8"
44	STA 315+73.46, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	0.03%	111.93	6'-6"	7'-8"
45	STA 317+12.70, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-1.17%	110.79	9'-0"	6'-6"
46	STA 317+68.53, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-0.44%	110.30	9'-0"	6'-6"
47	STA 321+43.06, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-1.33%	109.73	7'-8"	6'-6"
48	STA 322+16.30, -12.5' LT ALGN - MILLSTONE RD CONST BASELINE	-0.09%	109.16	9'-0"	6'-6"

![](_page_100_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **TREE PROTECTION OF EXISTING TREE(S)** SCALE: N.T.S.

![](_page_100_Figure_4.jpeg)

SCALE: N.T.S.

# **Cape Cod Native Trees**

![](_page_101_Picture_1.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING: 5-6' HT : \$400 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS <u>Sun:</u> Full sun, 6+ hrs a day Soils: High organic content, loam, sand <u>PH:</u> Acidic Spacing Req: 24-60' USDA Hardiness Zones: 3a-8a

![](_page_101_Picture_4.jpeg)

WHITE PINE Pinus strobus

Mature Height:

50-80 ft.

Mature Width:

20-40 ft.

General Habit:

Tall and wide

Pyramidal

Tends to lose lower branches as it ages

Maintenance

Low

![](_page_101_Picture_16.jpeg)

**RED MAPLE** Acer rubrum

Mature Height: 40-80 ft. Mature Width: 30-50 ft. General Habit: Oval Pyramidal Maintenance Low

INSTALLED PRICING:

1.5"-2." : \$700 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Partial shade to full sun Soils: Moist and well drained <u>PH:</u> Slightly Acidic Spacing Req: +40' <u>USDA Hardiness Zones:</u> 2b-9b

![](_page_101_Picture_22.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING:

5-6' HT : \$500 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Partial shade to full sun Soils: Dry to moist and well drained <u>PH:</u> Acidic - neutral Spacing Req: 12-24' USDA Hardiness Zones: 2a-9a

![](_page_101_Picture_26.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING:

1.5"-2." : \$800 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Partial shade to full sun Soils: Coarse, deep, moist, welldrained <u>PH:</u> Acidic - neutral Spacing Req: +60' USDA Hardiness Zones: 4a-9a

![](_page_101_Picture_30.jpeg)

# EASTERN RED <u>CEDAR</u>

Juniperus Virginiana

Mature Height: 30-40 ft. Mature Width: 10-20 ft. General Habit: Fastigiate Conical Dense Maintenance Low Vulnerable to deer

![](_page_101_Picture_34.jpeg)

WHITE OAK Quercus alba

Mature Height: 50-120 ft. Mature Width: 50-80 ft. General Habit: Pyramidal Rounded Spreading Maintenance Acorns

![](_page_101_Picture_39.jpeg)

![](_page_101_Picture_40.jpeg)

![](_page_101_Picture_42.jpeg)

![](_page_101_Picture_43.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING:

1.5"-2." : \$700 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Partial shade to full sun Soils: Well drained, sandy <u>PH:</u> Acidic Spacing Req: 24-60' USDA Hardiness Zones: 4a-9a

INSTALLED PRICING:

1.5"-2." : \$700 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Partial shade to full sun Soils: Moist and well drained <u>PH:</u> Acidic - neutral Spacing Req: 12-24' USDA Hardiness Zones: 4a-9a

![](_page_101_Picture_50.jpeg)

<u>COMMON</u> **SASSAFRAS** 

Sassafras albidium

Mature Height: 30-60 ft.

Mature Width:

25-40 ft.

**General Habit:** 

Rounded

Irregular

Multistem

Maintenance

Pruning to maintain shape

Oval Pyramidal Rounded Maintenance

Low

# **Cape Cod Native Shrubs**

![](_page_102_Picture_1.jpeg)

PREFERRED CONDITONS

<u>Sun:</u> Full sun

Soils: Well drained, sandy

USDA Hardiness Zones: 3-7

**BEACH PLUM** Prunus maritima

Mature Height: 3-6 ft. Mature Width: 3-6 ft. General Habit: Spreading Dense

![](_page_102_Picture_5.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING: #3 Pot : \$ 200/ea

![](_page_102_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_102_Picture_9.jpeg)

**WINTERBERRY** llex verticillata

Mature Height: 3-15 ft. Mature Width: 3-12 ft. General Habit: Rounded

![](_page_102_Picture_12.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING: #3 Pot : \$100 /ea

INSTALLED

PRICING:

4-5′ B&B:

\$350 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Shade to Full sun Soils: Well drained, moist USDA Hardiness Zones: 3a-9a

![](_page_102_Picture_15.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING: 3-4' B&B: \$200 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Shade to Full sun Soils: Highly adaptable USDA Hardiness Zones: 4b-9a

Dense

# **SHADBUSH**

Amelanchier canadensis

Mature Height: 10-20 ft. Mature Width: 10-20 ft. General Habit: Multistemmed

INSTALLED PRICING: #3 Pot :

\$100 /ea

![](_page_102_Picture_24.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING: #1 Pot : \$100 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Shade to Full sun Soils: Acidic, well drained USDA Hardiness Zones: 3a-8a

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Partial shade to full sun Soils: Well drained, rocky USDA Hardiness Zones: 3a-9a

![](_page_102_Picture_28.jpeg)

<u>SMOOTH</u> **SUMAC** Rhus glabra

9-15 ft. Mature Width: 9-15 ft. **General Habit:** Multistemmed Spreading

![](_page_102_Picture_31.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING: #3 Pot : \$100 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Partial shade to full sun Soils: Well drained, rocky USDA Hardiness Zones: 3a-9a

PREFERRED CONDITONS <u>Sun:</u> Shade to Full sun Soils: Highly adaptable USDA Hardiness Zones: 3a-8a

![](_page_102_Picture_35.jpeg)

![](_page_102_Picture_36.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING: #3 Pot : \$250 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Partial shade to full sun Soils: Moist, Sandy USDA Hardiness Zones: 4a-11a

3-12 ft. Mature Width: 3-10 ft. General Habit: Shrubby

![](_page_102_Picture_42.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING: 5-6' B&B: \$350 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Partial shade to full sun Soils: Highly adaptable USDA Hardiness Zones: 5a-9a

![](_page_102_Picture_45.jpeg)

![](_page_102_Picture_46.jpeg)

**FRAGRANT SUMAC** 

Rhus aromatica

Mature Height: 2-6 ft.

Mature Width: 6-10 ft.

**General Habit:** Creeping

Dense

![](_page_102_Picture_53.jpeg)

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Partial shade to full sun Soils: Well drained, moist USDA Hardiness Zones: 3a-9a

![](_page_102_Picture_55.jpeg)

# **COMMON WITCHHAZEL**

Hamamelis virginiana

Mature Height: 10-20 ft. Mature Width: 10-20 ft. **General Habit:** Multistemmed

![](_page_102_Picture_59.jpeg)

## **NORTHERN BAYBERRY**

Myrica pensylvanica

Mature Height: 5-10 ft. Mature Width: 5-10 ft. **General Habit:** Dense

![](_page_102_Picture_63.jpeg)

ARROWWOOD **VIBURNUM** 

Viburnum Dentatum

Mature Height: 5-10 ft. Mature Width: 6-10 ft. **General Habit:** Clumping Rounded

![](_page_102_Picture_67.jpeg)

**INKBERRY** llex glabra

Mature Height: 5-10 ft. Mature Width: 5-8 ft. **General Habit:** Round Dense

![](_page_102_Picture_70.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING: 3-4′ B&B: \$300 /ea

INSTALLED

PRICING:

3-4′ B&B:

\$300 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Partial shade to full sun Soils: Highly adaptable USDA Hardiness Zones: 3a-7a

![](_page_102_Picture_73.jpeg)

**SPICEBUSH** Lindera benzoin

Mature Height: 8-15 ft. Mature Width: 6-15 ft. **General Habit:** Multistemmed Shrubby

![](_page_102_Picture_76.jpeg)

INSTALLED PRICING: 3-4′ B&B: \$250 /ea

PREFERRED CONDITONS Sun: Partial shade to full sun Soils: Moist, well drained USDA Hardiness Zones: 3a-7a

# MAJOR STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PERMIT CASE NO. SWMP2023-46

# APPLICANT/OWNER: DAVID & HIEDI JENKINS PROPERTY: 87 TIMBERLANE DRIVE

![](_page_104_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Town of Brewster**

2198 Main Street Brewster, MA 02631-1898 Phone: (508) 896-3701 x. 1133 Office of: Planning Board Planning Dept.

### **STAFF REPORT**

TO:	Planning Board
FROM:	Town Planner
RE:	Major Stormwater Permit SWMP23-46
	87 Timberlane Drive (Map 144, Parcel 11)
	Owner/ Applicant: David & Heidi Jenkins
	c/o John M. O'Reilly, P.E., J.M. O'Reilly & Associates
DATE:	November 28, 2023

### Recommendation

The stormwater permit application meets the applicable performance standards and submission requirements set out in the town's Stormwater Management Regulations for issuance and approval of a Major Stormwater Permit.

Approval should be granted subject to the continuing obligations set out in said Regulations, including Sections 5.7, 5.8, 5.9 (Certificates of Compliance), 6.1B (Construction practices), Section 7.2 (Inspections), 8.3 (Recording of stormwater permit and O&M plan) and 8.5 therein.

The Board may want to consider/ impose the following special conditions of approval: use of the new building is limited to accessory residential; and any use or storage of hazardous materials in the building is limited to Household Amounts (as defined in the Zoning Bylaw); and a stone driveway apron shall be installed and maintained during construction.

### **Major Stormwater Management Permit**

The underlying project is the addition of an accessory/ utility building on a large, 5.4+ acre residential property. The project triggers a Major Stormwater Permit according to Chapter 272 of the Brewster Code (Stormwater Management Bylaw) and Section 4 of the Bylaw's supporting regulations, as the project involves net new impervious surface of 2500 sq ft or greater, albeit slightly. Major Stormwater Permits are subject to review at a public meeting, including for any waivers requested. No waivers have been requested or identified as necessary. No portion of the project is located in a special flood hazard area or wetland resource areas. Because the project is not subject to wetlands jurisdiction, the Planning Board is the stormwater permitting authority.

The applicant states that the proposed use of the new building will be as accessory to the existing single family dwelling use on the property. The underlying property zoning is residential. The property is also located in the Zone II/ DCPC overlay. On this basis, the applicant will need to submit site-wide nitrogen loading calculations for the project with its building permit application, limited to a site concentration of 5ppm or lower. Further, storage of hazardous materials is limited to household quantities.

The Applicant has provided the required application materials for a Major Stormwater Permit as set out in Appendix B of the Stormwater Management Regulations, including a stamped site & drainage plan and Stormwater Management Report, including TSS Report and HydroCad analysis of pre- and post-development conditions, all dated 11/16/23.

The Applicant has provided construction-period BMPs consistent with the performance standards for Major Stormwater Permits set out in Section 6.1B of the Stormwater Management Regulations. The site plan contains the specific details of the proposed erosion and sedimentation controls.

The post-construction stormwater management system is consistent with the Major Stormwater Permit performance standards for new construction set out in Section 6.2B of the Stormwater Management Regulations, addressing BMPs and management for both stormwater quantity and quality:

- The system meets the applicable standards in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook for new development.
- The entirety of the post-construction stormwater management system is Low Impact Development (LID)- type: the use of existing natural site depressions and a stone perimeter foundation trench conveyed by gutters and downspouts. Also, consistent with LID, the applicant proposes to relocate pine saplings in the new building footprint to other areas of the property.
- NOAA Plus precipitation values were used in the HydroCad analysis.
- The stormwater system will not increase off-site flooding and does not increase peak run-off rates over existing conditions including for the 100 yr. storm.
- The system will recharge all run-off from the development on-site and preserve the existing recharge volume/ capacity on-site.
- In addition to the MA handbook stormwater standards, the system meets the TSS and Total Phosphorus treatment requirements set out in the Brewster Stormwater Management Regulations through water quality volume/ holding capacity of the natural depression infiltration facilities. There is also some associated Nitrogen treatment assumed with system performance.
- The system treats the 'first flush' (first inch) of run-off.
- The Applicant has provided a corresponding Operations and Maintenance Plan, which adequately addresses the long-term maintenance of the post-construction BMPs.
- The Applicant's soil tests on the property, and NRCS mapping (Carver coarse), have revealed well-draining soils typical of Cape Cod.
- Test pits suggest that there is significant separation between stormwater infiltration facilities and the groundwater table.
- There is no formal pretreatment provided, which is generally required in Zone II area, especially where a metal roof is proposed (the application does not state whether the proposed building roof is metal). However, given the circumstances, even if a metal roof is proposed, it does not appear that pre-treatment would or should be necessary: 1) there is significant separation to groundwater; 2) the use is residential; 3) the property is large; 4) LID-type facilities are proposed including nature-based treatment/ infiltration; 5) the overland travel prior to collection, treatment and infiltration in the existing natural basins is analogous to a vegetated filter strip; 6) the stormwater management approach meets overall treatment requirements; and 7) there are no wetlands resources applicable to the project. To the extent a waiver is deemed necessary by the Board, the applicant meets the standards for a waiver under Section 5.10 of the Brewster Stormwater Management Regulations from the pre-treatment requirements of MA Stormwater Handbook Standard 4.

![](_page_106_Picture_0.jpeg)

Town of Brewster Code Chapter 272 Stormwater Management Permit Application Form TOWN CLERK RECEIVED: 23 NOU20 3:03M BREWSTER DUN CLE.K SWM PERMIT NUMBER ASSIGNED: MP 202-40

FOR TOWN OFFICIAL USE ONLY

A

1. Project Location:

Street Address			
Map 144, Parcel 11		Deed Book 6266, Page 257	
Assessors Map and Parcel(s)		Deed Reference	
Applicant:			
David & Heidi Jenkins			
Name			
P.O. Box 978 South Orlean	s MA 02662		
Legal Mailing Address			
508-737-9678		hjenkins978@gmail.com	
		Email Address	
Phone Number Property Owner (if differe	ent than Applicant):		
Phone Number Property Owner (if differently Same	ent than Applicant):		
Phone Number Property Owner (if different Same Name Legal Mailing Address	ent than Applicant):		
Phone Number Property Owner (if different Same Name Legal Mailing Address	ent than Applicant):		
Phone Number Property Owner (if difference Same Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number	ent than Applicant):	Email Address	
Phone Number Property Owner (if differed Same Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representat	ent than Applicant):	Email Address	
Phone Number Property Owner (if differed Same Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representat J.M. O'Reilly & Associates, I	ent than Applicant): ive: nc. John O'Reilly, P.E.	Email Address	
Phone Number Property Owner (if different Same Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representat J.M. O'Reilly & Associates, I Name	ent than Applicant): ive: nc. John O'Reilly, P.E.	Email Address	
Phone Number Property Owner (if difference Same Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representat J.M. O'Reilly & Associates, I Name 1573 Main Street (Mailing:	ent than Applicant): ive: nc. John O'Reilly, P.E. P.O. Box 1773), Brewste	Email Address	
Phone Number Property Owner (if differed Same Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representat J.M. O'Reilly & Associates, I Name 1573 Main Street (Mailing: Legal Mailing Address	ent than Applicant): ive: nc. John O'Reilly, P.E. P.O. Box 1773), Brewste	Email Address	
Phone Number Property Owner (if difference Same Name Legal Mailing Address Phone Number Professional Representat J.M. O'Reilly & Associates, I Name 1573 Main Street (Mailing: Legal Mailing Address ED9, 806, 6601	ent than Applicant): ive: nc. John O'Reilly, P.E. P.O. Box 1773), Brewste	Email Address	

5. Type of Application (Check as applicable):

![](_page_107_Picture_1.jpeg)

6. Brief Project Description, including any waiver requests:

Project proposes to construct a storage / barn building. The building area is about 2,520 sf in size and triggers the major SW permit. The stormwater will be handled by a perimeter drain along with the surrounding low points to the east of the building. Refer to Plans and Stormwater Report.

7. Signatures:

11-20/23 Jenkin HEDI Applicant Date

Property Owner (if different than Applicant)

Professional-Representative (as applicable)

### NOTES:

- Please refer to Appendix B of the Stormwater Management Regulations for detailed application submittal and supporting material requirements for Minor and Major Stormwater Management Permits, respectively.
- The application fee schedule is contained in Appendix C of the Regulations.
- Certain activities are exempt from review and permitting (See §272-6 of the Stormwater Management Bylaw).
- If the project is located, in whole or part, within an area subject to state or local wetlands protection law, the review and permitting authority is the Brewster Conservation Commission/ Conservation Department.
- No permit review shall occur nor shall review periods commence until the application is deemed complete.

Brewster Stormwater Management Permit Application Form

Date

Date

11-20.2023


# J.M. O'Reilly & Associates, Inc.

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING, LAND SURVEYING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Site Development • Property Line • Subdivision • Sanitary • Land Court • Environmental Permitting

# STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT AND OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE MANUAL

David & Heidi Jenkins 87 timberlane drive, brewster, ma Assessors map 144, parcel 11

# NOVEMBER 16, 2023

#### **PREPARED FOR:**

David & Heidi Jenkins P.O. Box 978 S. Orleans, MA 02662

#### PREPARED BY:

J.M. O'REILLY & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1573 MAIN STREET P.O. BOX 1773 BREWSTER, MA 02631 508-896-6601

1573 MAIN STREET, P.O. BOX 1773, BREWSTER, MA 02631 • PHONE: (508) 896-6601 • FAX: (508) 896-6602 WWW.JMOREILLYASSOC.COM

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Property Description and Information
- 2. Stormwater Management System Description
- 3. Pre Versus Post peak Discharge Rates; volumes requirements & Nutrient Treatment
- 4. Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook Performance Standards
- 5. Owner and Responsible Party
- 6. Schedule of Inspection and Maintenance of System
- 7. Long Term Lawn Care & Pollution Prevention Plan
- 8. Emergency Spill Cleanup Plan
- 9. ATTACHMENTS
  - A TSS Removal Calculation Sheets (Roof and Driveway)
  - B Routing Diagram from HydroCAD Report with Pre versus Post Discharge
  - C Site Plan with Watershed Plan

# **Property Description:**

Lot Area:	235,475 sf+/- (5.41 ACRES)
Parcel Improvements:	The site is developed with a single family dwelling, driveway and utilities.
Wetlands:	There are no wetlands associated with this project or parcel.
<u>Soils:</u>	Soil testing on the parcel for the sewage system design reflects a fine to medium grain sand.
<u>Groundwater:</u>	The groundwater is anticipated at elevation 24+/-, as reflected in the groundwater maps within the Brewster Health Department. Groundwater is anticipated to be about 46 feet below the large low point, east of the proposed building.
Zone II:	The parcel is located within a Zone II Groundwater Recharge area.
<u>Topography:</u>	The topography is rolling and provides for multiple watersheds within the parcel and three watersheds for the proposed building. As noted on the Site Plan the highest elevation is about 103, with a lowest elevation of 70, east of proposed building.
<u>Site Conditions:</u>	The existing lot is currently improved with a single family home with a paved driveway. The parcel is well wooded with the existing natural low points, east of the existing dwelling. The low areas are currently taking a majority of stormwater runoff from the existing driveway. The intention is to continue utilizing the native landscape and topography with a perimeter leaching trench for the roof runoff, to accommodate the stormwater from the project site.

#### **Stormwater Management System Description:**

The proposed post-development stormwater management plan consists of one (1) stormwater system which has been designed to collect, deliver and discharge the stormwater from the roof area into the ground via a perimeter leaching trench. The disturbed areas on the north, east and south of the proposed building are going to be graded to direct the stormwater into the low areas, east of the proposed building. The evaluation of the low point was limited to the volume available for the anticipated discharge of stormwater based on a 100 year storm event. The attached HydroCAD calculations provide the anticipated pre-peak discharge versus post-peak discharge analysis for the project.

The intent of the stormwater controls is the collection of the entire roofed area of the building, the gravel aprons and the disturbed areas because of the construction via gutters, downspouts and leaching trench along with grading of the disturbed areas and gravel aprons towards the low points. The proposal does not specifically provide pre-treatment prior to discharge to the low points, we feel the utilization of the natural vegetated low points as the best option for the additional stormwater associated with the disturbed areas and gravel aprons.

The leaching facility for the roof is designed for the 100-year storm event, so there is no additional stormwater being generated to the low points because of the roof area. The grading to the west of the

building continues to run off the property into a low point (EL=86.9). The incorporation of the perimeter leach trench for the 100 year storm is to address the mitigation of additional stormwater running to the west and off property. The remaining slope, west of the parcel, will continue to slope west toward the low point, as currently exists. The Application are planning to transplant the existing vegetation (specifically the scrub pine saplings) within the building footprint to along the property line.

Like a previous application, this application utilizes the natural vegetated low points as a Bioretention Basin for the surface runoff from the north, east and south of the proposed building. The TSS removal, with the Bioretention Areas, would be 90%, when you consider the overland runoff a 'vegetated strip'.

The nitrogen and phosphorous reduction can be considered to be about 70% when you apply the reduction rate of a Bio-retention basin is between 30% to 90% and the infiltration trench (Existing Sand Layers) under the basin (low point) is between 40% and 70%.

As shown in the HydroCAD report, the Watersheds (low points east of building) provide the storage capacity for the 100 year storm with no additional volume being discharged from the low points to off property. The table below provides a comparison of the site-wide Pre- to Post-Development peak discharge rate for each storm event.

Storm Event	Pre-Development Peak	Post-Development Peak
	Discharge Rate, cubic feet	Discharge Rate, cubic feet per
	per second (ft <sup>3</sup> /sec)	second (ft³/sec)
Watersheds (East of Building)	0	0

# Erosion Control Plan - Temporary Siltation Barrier & Silt Socks:

The proposal calls for a row of straw wattles along the western property line to mitigate any off-site disturbance or washout. The plan view reflects the anticipated areas of disturbance. For the remaining areas of disturbance, the applicants will implement the wattles, as necessary, to mitigate washout and scour.

Operation and maintenance plan is included herewith to address the long-term maintenance of the stormwater systems.

# Massachusetts Stormwater Management Design Standards:

The following is a description of how the proposed project meets the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook design standards.

# Standard 1: No new untreated discharges:

This standard is met since there are no new untreated stormwater discharges proposed. See Standards 4-6 calculations.

<u>Standard 2: Maintain Pre-development peak discharge rate:</u> This standard has been met. Refer to the Table above.

# <u>Groundwater Recharge:</u>

This standard is met. The proposed stormwater management system (Leach Trench and the Natural Low Point) is sized so that the total recharge volume provided exceeds the minimum groundwater recharge volume specified in the handbook and the proposed stormwater recharge galleys will drawdown within 72 hours of a storm event. In accordance with the MA Stormwater Manual, the required recharge volume factor (F) required across the impervious area (A) is 0.6 inches per hour for hydraulic soil group A soils. Fine Sand (Rawls Rate: 8.27 inches per hour) has been used in the sizing of the stormwater recharge galleys. Refer to the HydroCAD Stormwater Modeling Report in Appendix. The required recharge volume is calculated based on the total pavement and roof areas on site.

- Required Recharge Volume Rv = F x A = (0.6 in)(1 ft/12 in)(2,520 sf + 2,500) sf) = 251 cf (Roof & Driveway)
- Recharge Storage Provided (Subsurface leaching facilities for roof and Swale) = +100,000 cf >> 251 cf.
- The drawdown for the subsurface leaching facilities for the driveway/parking system and roof runoff is < 1 hour < 72 hour maximum allowance.

# Standard 4: Water Quality:

The TSS standard appears to be met when utilizing the natural low point for stormwater. TSS removal calculation tables for driveway and altered portions included in the Appendix A.

The Nitrogen and Phosphorus reductions are estimated based on the pre-treatment of the vegetated strip, the Bio-retention nature of the natural low point and the sandy material which lays beneath the natural low point. Our office estimates an approximate reduction of 70% in both nitrogen and phosphorus when applying the removal percentages of a Bio-retention area (natural low point) and the dry sandy soils beneath the low point. The lowest reduction rates of a Bio-retention area and the sand soils (infiltration area) is about 70% ( 40% for Bio-retention & 30% for infiltration).

In accordance with the MA Stormwater Manual, the required water quality depth (Dwq) across the impervious area (A) is 1.0 inches per hour in areas containing soils with rapid infiltration rate greater than 2.4 in/hr. The required water quality volume is based on the total pavement area on site.

- Required Water Quality Volume Vwq = Dwq x A = (1.0 in)(1 ft/12 in)(2,500 sf gravel areas) = 208 cf
- Water Quality Storage Provided (natural low point / swale) = +100,000 cf >> 208 cf.

<u>Standard 5: Land uses with higher potential pollutant loads:</u> This standard has been met. The proposed use is a residential building.

<u>Standard 6: Stormwater discharges within Zone II or Interim Wellhead protection area of a public water</u> <u>supply and stormwater discharges near or to any critical area.</u> This standard has been met. The 1-inch Required Water Quality Volume for discharges within a Groundwater Protection area has been met, see Standard 4 calculations. The existing low points provide the necessary storage volumes (+100,000 cf)

#### Standard 7: Redevelopment:

This standard is met. The project addresses the increased hardscape and roof area and the potential stormwater water runoff. No direct discharges are proposed. The pre versus post construction volumes are addressed when utilizing the natural low point for stormwater control.

# Standard 8: Construction Erosion Control Plan:

The project is subject to the proposed erosion control plan as outlined on the plan. Straw wattles shall be implemented as required to mitigate erosion of soil.

# Standard 9: Long Term Operation and Maintenance Plan:

.

A long-term O&M plan has been submitted with this report. The property owners will operate and maintain the stormwater systems.

# Standard 10: Illicit Discharges:

This standard is met since there are no illicit discharges at this site and no illicit discharges proposed.

# **Owner and Responsible Party**

The owner and responsible party for Stormwater Pollution Control at 87 Timberlane Drive, Brewster, MA

Owner & Operator: David & Heidi Jenkins P.O. Box 978 South Orleans, MA 02662 508-737-9678 Hjenkin978@gamil.com

# Schedule of Inspection and Maintenance of Stormwater Management Systems

- 1. Gutters and downspouts shall be cleaned twice a year.
- 2. Gravel areas shall be kept clean and free of trash and debris.

Estimate of annual operation and maintenance budget for common areas = \$ 500.00

#### Long Term Lawn Care & Pollution Prevention Plan

#### **Description of Pollutant Sources:**

- Light vehicle traffic residential development
- Lawn care products

# Source Control Best Management Practices

- There shall be no storage of items or materials which will be subject to the weather.
- Good housekeeping measures shall be implemented throughout the site to keep the driveways clean of debris.
- Regularly cleaning the gravel areas to remove debris and any other potential stormwater pollutants.
- The use of winter de-icing sand and salt materials shall be minimized to the maximum extent practicable.
- Winter de-icing sand and salt materials shall be stored indoors.
- Snow storage shall not be within the swales.
- Immediately clean up any spillage on gravel areas and dispose of wastes properly.

#### Emergency Spill Cleanup Plan

- 1. The owner of the facility shall have a designated person with overall responsibility for spill response cleanup.
- 2. In the event of a spill the following shall be notified:

Brewster Fire Department	(508) 896-1708
(for a gasoline or hazardous material spill)	911
Massachusetts D.E.P. Emergency Response	(800) 304-1133
Brewster Health Department	(508) 896-3701 ext. 1120
	Brewster Fire Department (for a gasoline or hazardous material spill) Massachusetts D.E.P. Emergency Response Brewster Health Department

3. Cleanup of spills shall begin immediately.

# O&M Log Form

					Cond	dition	
Inspector	Item Inspected	Date	Time	Good	Clean-out	Repair	Repaired
Initials	-				Needed	Needed	Date
			_	1			
·····							
					-		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
							-
							: 
		1			-	-	
			1				1
L							

O&M Log form records to be maintained by property manager for a minimum of three years



# 9579.JENKINS-STORAGE BUILDING - 87 TIMBERLINE

Prepared by J M O'Reilly & Associates Inc HydroCAD® 10.20-2g s/n 08678 © 2022 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 11/16/2023 Page 2

# Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
 0.115	96	Gravel surface, HSG A (P-A1, P-A2)
0.180	98	Paved parking, HSG A (A2, A3, P-A2, P-A3)
0.159	98	Roofs, HSG A (A3, A4, P-A1, P-A3)
5.408	30	Woods, Good, HSG A (A1, A2, A3, P-A1, P-A2, P-A3)
5.863	35	TOTAL AREA

# 9579.JENKINS-STORAGE BUILDING - 87 TIMBERLINE

Prepared by J M O'Reilly & Associates Inc	Printed 11/16/2023
HydroCAD® 10.20-2g s/n 08678 © 2022 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 3

HSG-A (acres)	HSG-B (acres)	HSG-C (acres)	HSG-D (acres)	Other (acres)	Total (acres)	Ground Cover	Subcatchment Numbers
0.115	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.115	Gravel surface	P-A1, P-A2
0.180	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.180	Paved parking	A2, A3, P-A2, P-A3
0.159	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.159	Roofs	A3, A4, P-A1, P-A3
5.408	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	5.408	Woods, Good	A1, A2, A3, P-A1,
							P-A2, P-A3
5.863	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	5.863	TOTAL AREA	

# Ground Covers (all nodes)

9579.JENKINS-STORAGE BUILDING - 87 TIMBERLINType III 24-hr100-Year Rainfall=8.50"Prepared by J M O'Reilly & Associates IncPrinted11/16/2023HydroCAD® 10.20-2g s/n 08678© 2022 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 4

Time span=0.00-25.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 2501 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

Reach 1R: PRE-DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE FOR THE THREE LOW	Inflow=0.00 cfs Outflow=0.00 cfs	0.000 af 0.000 af
Reach 2R: POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE FOR THE THREE LOW	Inflow=0.00 cfs Outflow=0.00 cfs	0.000 af 0.000 af

# Summary for Reach 1R: PRE-DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE FOR THE THREE LOW POINTS ON SITE

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Are	a =	2.883 ac,	3.89% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0.	.00" for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume	= 0.000 af	
Outflow	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume	= 0.000 af,	, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-25.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

# Reach 1R: PRE-DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE FOR THE THREE LOW POINTS ON SITE



# Summary for Reach 2R: POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE FOR THE THREE LOW POINTS ON SITE

[40] Hint: Not Described (Outflow=Inflow)

Inflow Are	a =	2.922 ac,	5.81% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0.0	00" for 100-Year event
Inflow	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume	= 0.000 af	
Outflow	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs, Volume	= 0.000 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-25.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs

# Reach 2R: POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE FOR THE THREE LOW POINTS ON SITE



9579.JENKINS-STORAGE BUILDING - 87 TIMBERLIN Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.50" Prepared by J M O'Reilly & Associates Inc Printed 11/20/2023 HydroCAD® 10.20-2g s/n 08678 © 2022 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

## Summary for Pond 2LP: Natural Low Point WS#2

Inflow Area = 1.861 ac. 2.81% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.71" for 100-Year event Inflow 0.57 cfs @ 12.32 hrs, Volume= 0.110 af = 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.00 hrs, Volume= Outflow 0.000 af, Atten= 100%, Lag= 0.0 min 0.00 cfs @ = Primary = 0.00 cfs @ 0.000 af Routed to Reach 1R : PRE-DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE FOR THE THREE LOW POINTS ON SITE

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-25.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 73.72' @ 24.34 hrs Surf.Area= 3,875 sf Storage= 4,786 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: initial storage exceeds outflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= (not calculated: no outflow)

Volume	In	vert Ava	ail.Storage	Storage Description	n	and the second second	
#1	72	.00'	44,069 cf	Custom Stage Da	ta (Irregular) Liste	ed below (Recalc)	
Elevatio (fee	on et)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Perim. (feet)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)	
72.0	00	1,815	155.0	0	0	1,815	
74.0	00	4,282	238.0	5,923	5,923	4,440	
76.	00	6,970	303.0	11,143	17,067	7,290	
78.	00	10,080	364.0	16,955	34,021	10,596	
80.	00	1,330	417.7	10,048	44,069	14,027	
Device	Routing	a I	nvert Outl	et Devices			
#1	Primary	/ 7	'9.90' 1 <b>0.0</b>	' long Sharp-Crest	ed Rectangular W	eir 2 End Contrac	ction(s)
<b>D</b> 1	0 10			- 100 OF 100 T		T T H A A	

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=72.00' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) -1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs) 9579.JENKINS-STORAGE BUILDING - 87 TIMBERLIN Type III 24-hr 100-Year Rainfall=8.50" Prepared by J M O'Reilly & Associates Inc Printed 11/20/2023 HydroCAD® 10.20-2g s/n 08678 © 2022 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

# Summary for Pond 3LP: Natural Low Point WS#3

Inflow Area = 0.634 ac, 9.42% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.08" for 100-Year event Inflow 0.45 cfs @ 12.13 hrs, Volume= 0.057 af = 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af, Atten= 100%, Lag= 0.0 min Outflow 0.00 cfs @ = 0.000 af Primary = 0.00 cfs @ Routed to Reach 1R : PRE-DEVELOPMENT PEAK DISCHARGE FOR THE THREE LOW POINTS ON SITE

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-25.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 46.37' @ 24.34 hrs Surf.Area= 781 sf Storage= 2,475 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: initial storage exceeds outflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= (not calculated: no outflow)

Volume	Inv	vert Ava	ail.Storage	Storage Description	on		
#1	43	.01'	66,029 cf	Custom Stage Da	ta (Irregular) Liste	d below (Recalc)	
Elevatio (fee	on et)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Perim. (feet)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)	
43.0	01	695	97.0	0	0	695	
82.0	00	1,998	161.0	50,315	50,315	5,894	
84.0	00	3,455	212.0	5,387	55,702	7,453	
86.0	00	5,215	261.0	8,610	64,312	9,357	
86.3	30	6,245	288.0	1,717	66,029	10,539	
Device	Routing	1	nvert Out	let Devices			
#1	Primary	, 8	3.20' <b>10.0</b>	)' long Sharp-Crest	ed Rectangular W	eir 2 End Contractior	n(s)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=43.01' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater)

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. In BMP Column, click on Blue Cell to Activate Drop Down Menu

2. Select BMP from Drop Down Menu

3. After BMP is selected, TSS Removal and other Columns are automatically completed.



Version 1, Automated: Mar. 4, 2008

Mass. Dept. of Environmental Protection

must be used if Proprietary BMP Proposed

1. From MassDEP Stormwater Handbook Vol. 1

V

# STORMWATER FACILITY NOTES:

# GENERAL:

1.) CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING, LOCATING AND PROTECTING ALL ABOVE AND BELOW GROUND UTILITIES PRIOR TO AND DURING CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT DIG-SAFE, ON-TARGET LOCATING, WATER DEPARTMENT AND ALL OTHER NECESSARY UTILITY COMPANIES FOR THE MARKING OR ALL PUBLIC AND PRIVATE UTILITIES.

2.) ALL WORKMANSHIP PROVIDED SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE TOWN OF BREWSTER D.P.W. SPECIFICATIONS AND REQUIREMENTS.

3.) ALL COMPONENTS SHALL BE SUBJECT TO WHEEL LOADS, UNLESS SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED ON THE PLAN DETAILS.4.) ANY MODIFICATIONS AND/OR DEVIATIONS FROM THE PLANS SHALL BE REVIEWED

AND APPROVED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER AND/OR THE TOWN.

# LIMIT OF WORK LINE:

5.) THE LIMIT OF WORK LINE SHALL BE AS SHOWN ON THE PLAN VIEW. CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL 9-INCH DIA. STRAW WATTLES ALONG WORK LIMIT ALONG THE WESTERN PORTION OF THE WORK LIMIT TO MITIGATE OFF SITE EROSION POTENTIAL.

# NATURAL LOW POINTS:

6.) THE NATURAL LOW POINT IS WOODED, NO ALTERATIONS ARE PROPOSED WITHIN EXITING LOW POINTS.

7.) THE EXISTING LOW POINTS ARE LARGE ENOUGH TO CONTAIN ALL RUNOFF GENERATED BY THE STORAGE BUILDING.

# LANDSCAPE:

9.) THE DISTURBED AREAS FOR THE BUILDING SHALL BE LOAMED AND SEEDED WITH A GRASS SEED FOR DROUGHT TOLERANT APPLICATIONS. THE AREA AFTER SEEDED SHALL BE ALLOWED TO NATURALIZE.





# APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES DATED NOVEMBER 8, 2023



Brewster Planning Board 2198 Main Street Brewster, MA 02631-1898 (508) 896-3701 x1133 brewplan@brewster-ma.gov MEETING MINUTES Wednesday, November 8, 2023 at 6:30 pm Brewster Town Office Building Approved: Vote:

Chair Amanda Bebrin convened a meeting of the Planning Board at 6:30 pm with the following members participating: Tony Freitas, Rob Michaels, Elizabeth Taylor, and Alex Wentworth. Charlotte Degen and Madalyn Hillis-Dineen were not present. Also participating: Jon Idman, Town Planner, and Lynn St. Cyr, Senior Department Assistant. Bebrin declared that a quorum of the Planning Board was present. The Meeting Participation Statement and Recording Statement were read.

# 6:32 PM PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENTS AND COMMENT

None.

# 6:32 PM PUBLIC HEARING

**Proposed Modification, Special Use Permit and Site Plan Approval Decision #2017-11 MOD1**: Applicant: Ocean Edge Resort LLC. Owner: Brewster Properties, Inc. Property: 30-44 Villages Drive as shown on Tax Map 89, Parcel 23. The Applicant seeks to modify special use permit and site plan approval decision #2017-11, which authorized the construction and operation of seasonal workforce housing units as an accommodations use for employees of Ocean Edge Resort. Specifically, the Applicant seeks modification of condition #2 of the decision, which limited the term of the approval to five (5) years, to remove any term limitation of the approval and make it permanent. **Documents:** 

- 09/27/17 Special Use Permit & Site Plan Approval Decision #2017-11 with site plan
- 09/26/23 Modification Application
- 10/17/23 Email from Tim Pellegrin, Brewster resident
- 10/31/23 Memo from Jon Idman, Town Planner with 2018 compliance review documents and 10/23/23 Health Department comments
- 11/07/23 Letter from Janice Fox, Regional Account Manager at intrax Work Travel
- 11/08/23 Photos of Ocean Edge workforce housing

Attorney Michael Ford, John O'Reilly P.E., P.L.S. of JM O'Reilly & Associates, Inc., and Thomas Devane, Project Director of Ocean Edge Resort LLC were present on behalf of the Applicant Ocean Edge Resort LLC.

# Motion by Wentworth to Open the Public Hearing on <u>Proposed Modification, Special Use Permit and Site Plan</u> <u>Approval Decision #2017-11 MOD1.</u> Second by Michaels. Vote: 5-0-0.

Ford stated that the Applicant was seeking modification of condition #2 from the 2017 special permit which limited the special permit to five years unless extended by the Planning Board via the public hearing process. There were several other conditions of the special permit including one that required the Applicant to return to the Planning Board after one year for a review of all permit conditions. Ford stated that the one-year review showed that the conditions were being met satisfactorily. After the review, the Applicant has continued operating in accordance with the conditions. The Applicant has reached out to town staff in various departments including Police, Fire, Building, and Health as well as the neighbors and has not heard of any ongoing problems. Ford stated that there are 42 bedrooms /84 beds that have been used exclusively for Ocean Edge employees as transient workforce housing. Ford asked that the Planning Board extend the special permit as it would any other special permit without a time limitation so Ocean Edge can rely on this housing

for its employees as it moves forward to plan accordingly. Ford noted that the Applicant has reviewed the memorandum from the Town Planner which indicates that there are no active non-compliance issues.

Devane addressed condition #10 of the special permit related to maintenance of landscaped areas. He stated that eight pine trees were planted behind the fence along the west side of the property and all eight have died. This was not noticed by the Applicant until a few months ago when speaking with a neighbor of the property. He spoke to a tree expert and the pines will need to be replaced. The Applicant would like to be allowed to replant the eight trees in the spring. Devane would like to speak to an arborist to determine if pines are the best trees to plant in that area. He would like to plant trees that will survive and provide sufficient buffering. Devane discussed use of the property and stated that employees without cars were given priority. The Applicant tries to limit the number of vehicles on the property. Shuttle service and bicycles are provided to employees.

Idman stated that Condition #2 limiting the special permit to five years was included due to the novelty of the use. Additionally, there was a review after one year. Idman stated that there have been a number of times that staff and the Planning Board have discussed this project and it has been recognized that Ocean Edge has met its obligations. Typically, the Planning Board grants special permits in perpetuity and the permit runs with the land. The Planning Department solicited comments from other town departments and became aware of a few issues, not land use related and not unique to this property. The issues were addressed quickly with an eye towards preventing reoccurrence. From a staff perspective, there is no issue with allowing the special permit to continue and all other conditions remain in effect. If there were other issues, there would be recourse such as enforcement by police and/or the zoning enforcement agent.

Michaels read comments received from the Health Department into the record.

Taylor stated that she was glad to see that this project has succeeded and she hoped that other companies would do the same to help with employee housing. Michaels stated that the project appears to be very well managed and he sees no reason why it would not continue to be well managed. Wentworth is encouraged to see a company providing workforce housing. Wentworth was hesitant when the project first began because he is nearby and he was concerned with noise and traffic. Wentworth said that if anything, he's seen a decrease in motor scooters between the Villages and mansion. Freitas agreed with other members and stated the site looks great and is well managed.

Janine Getek-Orr, 39 Thad Ellis Road, asked when the housing was first occupied and Devane stated that it was in Spring 2018. It has been occupied per the special permit since that time including during the COVID pandemic. There were less than 84 occupants during COVID. Getek-Orr stated that the abutters are concerned because the past five years have not been normal economic business years on Cape Cod. She does not support a permanent special permit at this time and would like to see a review in another five years. Devane responded that the project has been in full operation for six years.

Tom Suffriti, 59 Thad Ellis Road, asked if any of the conditions related to operation were proposed to be changed in addition to the request to change Condition #2 related to the length of the special permit. Bebrin responded that the Applicant was before the Planning Board with a request to modify Condition #2 only and all other conditions remain in effect.

Evelyn Salvadore, owner of 43, 45, 49, and 51 Thad Ellis Road, expressed concern that the continued use could be allowed without another review in five years. She asked why the project was referred to as a motel. Idman responded that the project falls under the definition of a motel in the Brewster Zoning Bylaw. Salvadore asked if the broad definition would allow for use as a motel in the future. Idman responded that the use is circumscribed by the permit and the permittee is bound by the terms and conditions of the permit. Salvadore asked what the process would entail if the permittee wanted to change the use and Bebrin stated that they would have to come back to the Planning Board. Ford stated that a public hearing with abutter notification would be needed for a change of use. Salvadore asked if the permit would allow for 40+ motel units to be built. Ford responded that that could not be done under the terms of the current permit as motel has been specifically defined as the workforce housing currently being operated. If the permittee wished to operate a motel, they would need to come back to the Planning Board for a public hearing. Bebrin noted that there is no category in the use table for workforce housing, so motel is most likely the closest category for seasonal housing. Again, she stated that the permittee would need to return to the Planning Board for a public hearing if they wished to depart from any of the terms of the special permit.

Ken Benson, 38 Thad Ellis Road, asked whether the buildings meet the requirements to be permanent buildings as they were initially proposed as temporary buildings. Devane responded that the units are modular homes that meet building code requirements. He also stated that they plan to use these units as long as possible. Benson asked what recourse abutters have if things are not going well. Idman responded that as a practical matter residents could reach out to Devane. If that is not sufficient, abutters could reach out to the Building Commissioner who enforces zoning for the town.

Joan Orr, 39 Thad Ellis Road, stated that alcohol is prohibited at the site and marijuana should be prohibited as well. She also stated that all utilities to the site are supposed to be turned off from December 1 - March 31 but during the past year there were lights on during this time. She also thinks the quiet hours should be change to 9 pm - 8 am. Orr offered to advise on the replanting of pines.

Freitas asked Devane to address the comment about lights at the site. Devane stated that the units are winterized but some lights are left on for security and in case the site needs to be accessed by the emergency personnel. All lights are down casting. Michaels inquired about lighting and noted that lights are not allowed on the rear of the units near the northwestern western sides of the site. Devane stated that there are doors with security lighting on the north and west buildings, but the doors are on the side of the buildings not the rear. Ford stated that the Applicant believes they are in compliance with the special permit requirements.

Janine Getek-Orr suggested that the special permit be extended for another five years and at that time the Planning Board could consider making it permanent.

Idman asked Taylor for suggestions on the replanting of the pine trees that have died. Taylor suggested white pine, arborvitae or Leyland cypress as these species grow quickly. She stated that trees not only act as a visual buffer but a sound attenuator. Idman suggested a condition that the Applicant replant with an appropriate evergreen species.

Evelyn Salvadore stated that the neighbors as direct abutters would be more comfortable if the special permit was extended for five years as a lot can happen in five years. Ford responded that the conditions placed on this special permit were extraordinary. The Applicant returned for a status review after one year and is back after five years for an additional review. The Applicant believes they have met all conditions of the special permit and request the time limit be removed and the special permit be permanent like a typical special permit.

# Motion by Taylor to Close the Public Hearing on <u>Proposed Modification, Special Use Permit and Site Plan Approval</u> <u>Decision #2017-11 MOD1.</u> Second by Michaels. Vote: 5-0-0.

Freitas stated that the Applicant has been monitored by the town and neighbors and they've shown to be good stewards the special permit. Since there is recourse for any problems that may occur with neighbors and since the Applicant would need to return to the Planning Board for any changes to the special permit, he does not see any reason to put a time limitation on the permit. Wentworth asked if the Applicant was aware of the issues raised with hours of operation and lighting. Ford responded that the Applicant was not aware until they were mentioned at the hearing. Idman suggested modifying condition #10 with a requirement that the Applicant provide a planting plan to staff to review and approve prior to replanting.

Motion by Wentworth to Modify Condition #2 of the Decision to read "The Special Permit shall run with the property." and that pursuant to Condition #10 the Applicant will provide a planting plan to staff for review and approval, and the Applicant will Implement the plan on Proposed Modification, Special Use Permit and Site Plan Approval Decision #2017-11 MOD1. Second by Michaels. There was discussion by the Planning Board regarding enforcement mechanisms for the special permit and the need for workforce housing. Vote: 5-0-0.

## 7:12 PM PUBLIC MEETING

Discussion with Department of Public Works Director Griffin Ryder regarding stormwater management permitting. Griffin Ryder, Director of Public Works, discussed his experience with stormwater review and design. Ryder has extensive experience with the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. Michaels asked Ryder how Massachusetts compares to other states in stormwater management. Ryder responded that Virginia was one of the first states to focus on stormwater management, but Massachusetts was quick to follow. He believed Massachusetts began reviewing phosphorous issues with the Charles River about 15-20 years. Ryder noted that for a long time Massachusetts relied on its Wetlands Protection Act for stormwater control.

Ryder reviewed Brewster's Long Pond landing project and stormwater report with the Planning Board. He walked the Planning Board through the different sections of a stormwater report and described what a report should include and what the Planning Board should focus on. He stated that the report should provide a description of existing and proposed conditions, drainage areas, rain events, and stormwater modelling. Ryder stated that during his time doing stormwater work he has never peer reviewed a single-family home. The focus is typically on larger developments such as grocery stores and modelling is done to capture the impacts of the development. Idman stated that tonight's meeting was a first step toward reviewing stormwater. The next step would be a public forum to hear feedback from the public on the stormwater bylaw and regulations and then a review by the Select Board and Planning Board to discuss policy and any changes. A discussion on quantitative versus qualitative requirements should be considered. Bebrin stated that there was an understanding when the Planning Board drafted the stormwater management bylaw that it would need to be reviewed and reconsideration would be given to areas such as thresholds. Freitas voiced concern with the impact the bylaw has on housing. He stated that the requirements are extensive for residential properties and expressed concern about the costs. Ryder stated that quantitative analysis is best used for high impact development whereas a qualitative approach is best used with smaller, low impact projects. He further stated that Brewster's zoning for single-family homes encourages low impact development. Michaels stated that high infiltration can mean things are not getting filtered as well as they should be. Ryder stated that rapid infiltration is less likely on Cape Cod with groundwater 15+ feet away and sand acting as a filter. Michaels noted that Brewster's pre-treatment requirements are more stringent than the state's requirements. Ryder stated that the primary BMP is infiltration.

Ryder reviewed important components to be considered in stormwater management including drainage delineation and topography, land use within the drainage area including coverage and underlying soil types, rainfall, and time of concentration. These components combined create a hydrograph. HydroCAD is a calculator of hydrographs. There was discussion on the time of concentration component and Ryder stated that the existing and proposed time of concentration should be close and should not increase from existing to proposed. Quantitative versus qualitative approaches to stormwater management were discussed again. Idman stated that using quantitative standards from the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook and applying them to residential development could result in over mitigating for stormwater. Ryder stated that for single-family homes a qualitative approach provides better analysis. Idman stated that the MA Stormwater Handbook was intended for wetlands settings and larger developments.

Taylor stated that after storms there are large puddles on town roads. She asked how the puddling could be resolved. Idman discussed the MS4 program and work to identify impaired catch basins. Ryder stated that puddling occurs because we focus on infiltration and systems are not designed for overflow. Ryder also discussed storm events and how catch basements have limited capacity to accept all stormwater. Ryder stated that the DPW is working on identifying locations where infrastructure is needed and where infrastructure is not working properly. Due to budget restraints, the DPW is not able to address all problem areas. Ryder reviewed the stormwater management standards from the Massachusetts Stormwater Management Handbook including: 1) No new stormwater conveyances may discharge untreated stormwater. 2) Peak rate attenuation. 3) Eliminate or minimize loss of annual recharge to groundwater. 4) TSS treatment train. Ryder noted that some of the requirements of this standard should not be applied to a single-family home. 5) Source control and pollution prevention for land uses with higher potential pollution loads. Ryder noted that this standard does not apply often to Brewster as Brewster does not have these types of projects. 6) Source control and pollution prevention for discharges in Zone II areas. 7) Redevelopment projects are required to meet standards to the maximum extent practicable. 8) Construction related impact plans. 9) Long term operation and maintenance plans. Michaels asked if the town does inspections to determine compliance with the operation and maintenance plan. Idman stated that the operation and maintenance plan must be recorded against the property. Enforcement by the town would take place if there was an issue such as evidence of surface water. 10) Illicit discharges are prohibited. Ryder noted that Brewster does not see a lot of illicit discharges because the town does not have sewers. Ryder stated that there are 14 various discharge locations throughout Brewster including Cape Cod Bay and the Consodine Ditch. Wentworth noted that several of the stormwater management permit applications reviewed by the Planning Board have included single-family homes with pools. There town's illicit discharge bylaw regulates discharging of swimming pools.

Ryder also stated that a stormwater report should include analysis of soil types and drainage maps which are useful to compare to the HydroCAD report. Ryder noted that for redevelopment projects it is important to fully treat any addition. Micahels asked Ryder what the Planning Board should consider in reviewing a stormwater report and Ryder responded that he would double check that the rainfall events make sense and match to NOAA and a review of the size of pre and post development areas is important. There was discussion on velocity and how it impacts time of concentration. The Planning Board discussed BMPs and Wentworth stated that swales wouldn't really do much on a level lot. Ryder stated that they could be used to provide a lower area for collection or a bioretention area could be used. Idman stated that the quantitative approach does not always give you everything you need intuitively to review a project. He stated that the slope and topography of the site were important factors. Ryder stated that slopes and velocities are considered through BMPs in the MA Stormwater Handbook. He gave an example of a project taking place at the Freeman Fields where the field itself is a vegetated swale and will be used as a BMP. Michaels asked if the Planning Board could suggest specific BMPs to those applying for stormwater management permits. Idman stated that given the Stormwater Regulations, it was best that the Planning Board did not try to direct Applicants to specific BMPs that may require requests for waiver. Bebrin noted that Applicants could make requests for waivers to the Planning Board. Michaels stated that he felt some of the stormwater requirements, specifically HydroCAD requirements, may be overkill for single-family dwellings depending on slope and topography of the lot.

There was discussion on maintenance of catch basins throughout the town. Ryder noted that the town has a catch basin cleaning program for basins on private roads. The program happens in the Fall and residents on private roads can sign up to have their catch basins cleaned for a fee. The DPW cleans the catch basins on town roads.

Ryder discussed Operation and Maintenance Plans and the importance of the plan including a schedule for inspection and cleaning of catch basins. Idman stated that in residential settings not as much pre-treatment is needed and TSS removal can be handled after the fact.

The Planning Board thanked Ryder for his time and look forward to further discussions with him on stormwater management.

#### 8:31 PM APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

Approval of Meeting Minutes: October 25, 2023.

The Board reviewed the October 25, 2023 meeting minutes. Motion by Michaels to Approve October 25, 2023 Meeting Minutes. Second by Wentworth. Vote: 5-0-0.

#### 8:31 PM COMMITTEE REPORTS

Wentworth stated that the Vision Planning Committee (VPC) is continuing its work on public outreach on the Local Comprehensive Plan (LCP). Wentworth reminded everyone of Fall Town Meeting which will be taking place on Monday, November 13<sup>th</sup>. He thanked the VPC members for all their work on the LCP and specifically recognized Sharon Tennstedt and town staff as well.

8:32 PM FOR YOUR INFORMATION

None.

8:32 PM MATTERS NOT REASONABLY ANTICIPATED BY THE CHAIR

None.

Motion by Wentworth to Adjourn. Second by Michaels. Vote: 5-0-0. The meeting adjourned at 8:33 PM.

Next Planning Board Meeting Date: December 13, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

Lynn St. Cyr, Senior Department Assistant, Planning